> Duarte Azevedo

Next

colliders

Scalar Extension CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusions

Backup

Models with extended Higgs sectors at future e^+e^- colliders

Duarte Azevedo^{1,2} **Supervisor:** Rui Santos^{1,2,3} **Colaboration:** P. Ferreira, M. Mühlleitner, J. Wittbrodt

 1 Centro de Física Teórica e Computacional - Universidade de Lisboa (CFTC-UL) 2 Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas (LIP)

³ Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa (ISEL)

Departamento de Física Universidade de Lisboa

2nd July 2019



> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation of colliders

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM

Parameter Scan ScannerS General remarks

Vlain results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusion

Backup

1 Next generation of colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

3 Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

4 Main results

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

5 Conclusions

Outline

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation of colliders

CLIC

Scalar Extensio CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Paramete Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs

Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup

Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusions

Backup

CLIC Compact Linear Collider

CLIC will start with an energy of 350/380 GeV. Upgradeable to 1.5 and 3 TeV.

- Deviations from the Standard Model (SM) will be evidence of new physics.
- Expected O(1%) precision in fermion, and vector boson couplings with Higgs.
- Accurate measurement of trilinear and quartic Higgs couplings.



Figure: Main processes containing a top-quark Yukawa coupling $g_{t\bar{t}H}$, trilinear self-coupling g_{HHH} , and g_{WWHH} .



Figure: A general linear collider schematics (left) and the expected main Higgs processes (right).

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

Scalar

Scalar Extensions

CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main result

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup

Scalar extensions

Motivation

Models with extended Higgs sectors at future $e^+e^$ colliders

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

Scalar Extensions

CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Vlain results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusions

Backup

While the Standard Model (SM) predicts several phenomena with an exceptional level of accuracy, for instance:

- The anomalous magnetic moment of the electron, muon.
- Several low energy processes with an incredible level of accuracy. E.g. results from the LEP experiment.

It, nevertheless, fails to account for:

- Gravity.
- **Dark Matter**. Several observational evidence: Galaxy rotation curves, Gravitational lensing, Cosmic microwave background, etc.
- More CP-violation. CP-violation from weak interactions is not enough to fulfil Sakharov's conditions.

The models under scrutiny are:

- CxSM The Standard Model with an additional complex singlet.
- (C)2HDM The (Complex) two Higgs doublet model.
- N2HDM The next-to-minimal two Higgs doublet model.
- NMSSM Next-to-minimal Super Symmetric model.

All models have at least three neutral scalars, one to be identified with the discovered Higgs.

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

Scalar Extensions

CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusion

Backup

CxSM Complex Singlet Extension of the SM

First introduced as a scalar dark matter (DM) model.

Let S = S(x) + iA(x) be a complex $SU(2)_L$ singlet. We consider the following addition to the of the SM scalar potential

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2}H^{\dagger}H + \frac{\lambda}{4}(H^{\dagger}H)^2 + \frac{\delta_2}{2}H^{\dagger}H|\mathbb{S}|^2 + \frac{b_2}{2}|\mathbb{S}|^2 + \frac{d_2}{4}|\mathbb{S}|^4 + \left(\frac{b_1}{4}\mathbb{S}^2 + a_1\mathbb{S} + c.c.\right)$$
(1)

ightarrow softly-broken U(1) symmetry. The new field is allowed a vacuum expectation value (VEV)

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v+h+iG^0) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbb{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[v_S + s + i(v_A + a)\right], \quad (2)$$

This is the broken phase of the CxSM with three physical mixed states h_1 , h_2 , h_3 , one identified as the 125 GeV Higgs.

8/26

(C)2HDM (Complex) Two Higgs Doublet Model

We consider a particular case of the 2-Higgs-Doublet model (2HDM) and its complex version (C2HDM).

Both obey a discrete \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry to eliminate tree-level flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC).

$$\Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_2 \tag{3}$$

The scalar potential reads

$$V = m_{11}^2 |\Phi_1|^2 + m_{22}^2 |\Phi_2|^2 - m_{12}^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + h.c.) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + [\frac{\lambda_5}{2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + h.c.]$$
(4)

- 2HDM The model is CP-conserving if the parameters and VEVs are real.
- C2HDM The model is CP-violating if the VEVs are real but m²₁₂ and λ₅ are complex with different phases.

The neutral VEVs of both doublets are set to

$$\sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} \approx 246 \text{GeV}$$
(5)

to keep the gauge bosons mass to their experimental value. \rightarrow Three mixed neutral scalars and a charged one.

Parameter Scan

(C)2HDM

Models with extended

Higgs sectors at future

> colliders Duarte

Azevedo

ScannerS General remark

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusion

Backup

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation of colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remar

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Hig

Conclusio

Backup

N2HDM

Next-to-minimal Two Higgs Doublet Model

This model consists of an additional $SU(2)_L$ doublet and real singlet. In relation to the 2HDM, we impose a second \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry given by

$$\Phi_S \to -\Phi_S \tag{6}$$

The scalar potential reads

$$V = m_{11}^{2} |\Phi_{1}|^{2} + m_{22}^{2} |\Phi_{2}|^{2} - m_{12}^{2} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + h.c.) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1})^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{3} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}) (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}) + \lambda_{4} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}) (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}) + \frac{\lambda_{5}}{2} [(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2})^{2} + h.c.] + \frac{1}{2} m_{5}^{2} \Phi_{5}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{6}}{8} \Phi_{5}^{4} + \frac{\lambda_{7}}{2} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}) \Phi_{5}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{8}}{2} (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}) \Phi_{5}^{2}$$
(7)

After electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) we can parametrise the fields as follows

$$\Phi_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{1} + \rho_{1} + i\eta_{1}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{2} + \rho_{2} + i\eta_{2}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{5} = v_{5} + \rho_{5} , \quad (8)$$

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Hig

Conclusion

Backup

Additional remarks

For the previous models the the rotation matrix can be parametrised as follows:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 c_2 & s_1 c_2 & s_2 \\ -(c_1 s_2 s_3 + s_1 c_3) & c_1 c_3 - s_1 s_2 s_3 & c_2 s_3 \\ -c_1 s_2 c_3 + s_1 s_3 & -(c_1 s_3 + s_1 s_2 c_3) & c_2 c_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

Where $s_i \equiv \sin \alpha_i$ and $c_i \equiv \cos \alpha_i$. Without loss of generality we have:

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \alpha_i < \frac{\pi}{2} \,, \tag{10}$$

The Yukawa interactions can be parametrized as

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{f}}{v} \bar{\psi}_{f} \left[c^{e} (H_{i} f f) + i c^{o} (H_{i} f f) \gamma_{5} \right] \psi_{f} H_{i}$$
(11)

	<i>u</i> -type	<i>d</i> -type	leptons
Type I	Φ2	Φ2	Φ ₂
Type II	Φ2	Φ_1	Φ_1
Lepton-specific	Φ2	Φ2	Φ_1
Flipped	Φ_2	Φ_1	Φ_2

The \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry preventing FCNCs reduces the possibilities of the doublet-fermions Yukawa interactions.

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation of colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remark

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusion

Backup

NMSSM Next-to-minimal Supersymmetric Model

Any super symmetric model requires the introduction of two doublets. If an additional singlet is present, the μ problem is solved dynamically. After EWSB, in the CP-conserving NMSSM we have

- Three CP-even and two CP-odd scalars.
- A pair of charged Higgs.

The NMSSM superpotential reads in terms of the hatted superfields

$$\mathcal{W} = \lambda \widehat{S} \widehat{H}_u \widehat{H}_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} \widehat{S}^3 + h_t \widehat{Q}_3 \widehat{H}_u \widehat{t}_R^c - h_b \widehat{Q}_3 \widehat{H}_d \widehat{b}_R^c - h_\tau \widehat{L}_3 \widehat{H}_d \widehat{\tau}_R^c$$
(12)

where only the third generation of fermions is present, for simplicity. We expand the fields analogously around their VEVs

$$H_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} (v_{d} + h_{d} + ia_{d})/\sqrt{2} \\ h_{d}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, \ H_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{u}^{+} \\ (v_{u} + h_{u} + ia_{u})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \ S = \frac{v_{s} + h_{s} + ia_{s}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
(13)

 \rightarrow Equivalent to a type II 2HDM.

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generatio

CLIC

Scalar Extension: CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main result

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup

Parameter Scan

ScannerS

ScannerS

Parameter space scanner of a general (up to dimension 4) scalar potential.[R. Costa, R. Guedes, M. Mühlleitner, M. O. P. Sampaio, R. Santos, J. Wittbrodt. JHEP 1703 (2017) 094.]

Provides interfaces with the following HEP codes: HiggsBounds/Signals, SuperISO, SusHi, HDECAY and MicrOMEGAS.

ightarrow Enables easy model implementation through Mathematica notebooks.

 \rightarrow User analysis can be easily set in premade C++ templates.

 \rightarrow I am responsible for the maintainance and upgrade of the code. Updated HB5/HS2 interface and implementation of new scalar and vector DM models. Available at: https://scanners.hepforge.org/

Going through a major core upgrade, with faster tools for numerical scans, better user interface, implementation of electric dipole moments (EDM) contraints, among others.

Models with extended Higgs sectors at future $e^+e^$ colliders

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation of colliders

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusion

Backup

Duarte Azevedo

Next generat

collid CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusions

Backup

General remarks

 \rightarrow One of the physical Higgs is to be identified with the discovered one. Fixing its mass to

$$m_{h_{125}} = 125.09 \text{ GeV}$$
 (14)

 \rightarrow Other two can have a mass in the range of]30,1000[GeV, except on $m_{h_{125}} \pm 5$ GeV window. No degenerancy. Theoretical constraints:

Theoretical constraints:

- Boundedness from below.
- Pertubative unitarity.
- Vacuum is global minimum.

Experimental constraints:

- Maximum 2σ deviation for the S,T and U electroweak precision observables.
- Production and decay rates under the 95% C.L. limits from LEP, Tevatron and LHC.
- For the NMSSM we also impose upper bounds on the relic density and direct detection rates for dark matter.

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

Scalar Extension CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remark

Main result

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Hig

Conclusion

Backup

Constraint on the SM-like Higgs

Consider the *worst* scenario: that measurement of the SM-like Higgs boson couplings does not deviate from SM predictions. Given the precision what is the parameter space left for these models?

$$\kappa_{Hii} = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_{Hii}^{BSM}}{\Gamma_{Hii}^{SM}}} = 1$$
(15)

Parameter	Relative precision							
	$350 \ { m GeV} \ 500 \ { m fb}^{-1}$	$^{+1.4}_{+1.5} { m ~TeV}_{+1.5}$	$+3.0 {\rm TeV} \\ +2.0 {\rm ab}^{-1}$					
κ_{HZZ}	0.43%	0.31%	0.23%					
κ_{HWW}	1.5%	0.15%	0.11%					
κ_{Hbb}	1.7%	0.33%	0.21%					
κ_{Hcc}	3.1%	1.1%	0.75%					
κ_{Htt}	_	4.0%	4.0%					
$\kappa_{H au au}$	3.4%	1.3%	<1.3%					
$\kappa_{H\mu\mu}$	_	14%	5.5%					
κ_{Hgg}	3.6%	0.76%	0.54%					
$\kappa_{H\gamma\gamma}$	_	5.6%	< 5.6%					

Table: Results of the model-dependent global Higgs fit on the expected precisions of the κ_{Hii} . [CLICdp, E. Sicking, Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. 273-275, 801 (2016)]

 \rightarrow Benchmark scenarios: Sc1 (350 GeV), Sc2 (1.4 TeV) and Sc3 (3.0 TeV).

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation of colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan ScannerS

Materia de

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Hig

Conclusion

Backup

Main results SM-like Higgs

In the broken phase, the singlet admixture is given by

$$\Sigma_i^{\mathsf{CxSM}} = (R_{i2})^2 + (R_{i3})^2 \tag{16}$$

The maximum allowed singlet admixture is given by the lower bound on the global signal strength μ which at present is

$$\Sigma_{\max LHC}^{CxSM} \approx 1 - \mu_{\min} \approx 11\%$$
 (17)

Let us consider the case where the discovered Higgs is very SM-like when measured at $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CLIC}}$

$$\kappa_{H_{sm}ii} = 1 \tag{18}$$

Within the most accurate measurements this would lead to

• CLIC Sc1 - Most accurate $\rightarrow \kappa_{HZZ}$

$$\Sigma^{\text{CxSM}}_{\text{max CLIC@350GeV}} \approx 0.85\% , \qquad (19)$$

CLIC Sc3 - Most accurate → κ_{HWW}

$$\Sigma_{\text{max CLIC@3TeV}}^{\text{CxSM}} \approx 0.22\% .$$
 (20)

> Duarte Azevedo

Next

generatio colliders CLIC

Scalar Extension CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

General remark

Main result

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Hi

Conclusion

Backup

Because of CP-violation an additional effect constrains this model: The electric dipole moment (EDM).

 \rightarrow They affect differently the model depending on which type of Yukawa interactions are considered.

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = -\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{m_{f}}{v} \bar{\psi}_{f} \left[c^{e} (H_{i} f f) + i c^{o} (H_{i} f f) \gamma_{5} \right] \psi_{f} H_{i} , \qquad (21)$$

Main results

SM-like Higgs



Figure: CP-odd (c_b^o) vs. CP-even (c_b^e) (right) Yukawa couplings for the C2HDM Type I. Current EDM bounds [D. Fontes et al., JHEP 02, 073 (2018)] (left) and CLIC expected bounds (right). The blue points are for *Sc*1 but without the constraints from κ_{Hgg} and $\kappa_{H\gamma\gamma}$; the green points are for *Sc*1 including κ_{Hgg} and the red points are for *Sc*3 including κ_{Hgg} and $\kappa_{H\gamma\gamma}$.

Duarte Azevedo

Type II

Next

generation colliders

Scalar Extensior

(C)2HD

N2HDM NMSSM

Paramete

ScannerS General remarks

Main result

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup





Main results SM-like Higgs

> Duarte Azevedo

Next

colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusio

Backup

Main production modes at lower energies:





Main results

non SM-like Higgs

WW-fusion becomes main production with higher energies.



Figure: Total rate for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} H_{\downarrow} \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} ZZ$ as a function of the lighter Higgs boson mass for $\sqrt{s} = 1.4$ TeV.

Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

CLIC

- CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM
- Parameter Scan
- ScannerS General remark
- Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs
- Conclusions
- Backup

\rightarrow Effect of Sc1 on the signal rates:



- The CxSM is the most constrained due to the fewer degrees of freedom.
- Associated production rivals the other production modes when considering Sc1.

Main results

non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Published: Phys. Rev. D 99, 055013 (2019).

We have studied several BSM scalar extensions: CxSM, (C)2HDM, N2HDM (Type I and II) and the NMSSM at the future Compact Linear Collider, using the expected benchmarks for energies of 350, 1400, 3000 GeV.

- These benchmarks were used to constrain the 125 GeV Higgs to be very SM-like, and see the possible deviations from CP-even and doublet-like structure still available.
- We show that CLIC results constrain further the singlet admixture and CP-odd component.

On the second part we studied the possibility of finding and studying additional Higgs bosons at CLIC through Higgstrahlung, WW-fusion and associated production.

- Checking if the models can be disentangled with the discovery of a new particle in the first stage.
- Verified the remaining parameter space, in case of no new physics in the first run.
- NMSSM was gone, maybe new particle to be discovered?

xten: xSM

Models with

Higgs sectors at future $e^+e^$ colliders Duarte Azevedo

(C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup

> Duarte Azevedo

Nex

generation colliders

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

General remarks

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup

Thank you!



Figure: Left to right: P. Ferreira, R. Santos, M. Mühlleitner, J. Wittbrodt, D. Azevedo.

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders

CLIC

Scalar Extension CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main result

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusions

Backup

Backup

Duarte Azevedo

Next

generation colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

ScannerS General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higg

Conclusion

Backup

Parameters Range 1/3

CxSM

The VEVs v_A and v_S are varied in the range

$$1 \text{ GeV } \le v_A, v_S < 1.5 \text{ TeV}$$
 (22)

The mixing angles $\alpha_{1,2,3}$ vary within the limits

 $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \alpha_{1,2,3} < \frac{\pi}{2} . \tag{23}$

(C)2HDM

The angles vary in the range

$$0.5 \le t_{\beta} \le 35 \qquad -\frac{\pi}{2} \le \alpha_{1,2,3} < \frac{\pi}{2}$$
 (24)

The value of $\operatorname{Re}(m_{12}^2)$ is in the range

$$0 \text{ GeV}^2 \le \text{Re}(m_{12}^2) < 500000 \text{ GeV}^2 . \tag{25}$$

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generation colliders CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan

General remarks

Main results SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusion

Backup

Parameters Range 2/3

 (\dots) The charged Higgs mass is chosen in the range

80 GeV
$$\le m_{H^{\pm}} < 1$$
 TeV (Type I)
580 GeV $\le m_{H^{\pm}} < 1$ TeV (Type II) (26)

One of the H_i is restricted to

$$30 \text{ GeV} \le m_{H_i} < 1 \text{ TeV (Type I)}$$

$$500 \text{ GeV} \le m_{H_i} < 1 \text{ TeV (Type II)}$$

$$(27)$$

N2HDM

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\pi}{2} \le \alpha_{1,2,3} < \frac{\pi}{2} & 0.25 \le t_{\beta} \le 35 \\ 0 \text{ GeV}^2 \le \text{Re}(m_{12}^2) < 500000 \text{ GeV}^2 & 1 \text{ GeV} \le v_S \le 1.5 \text{ TeV} , \\ 30 \text{ GeV} \le m_{H_i \ne m_{h_{125}}}, m_A \le 1 \text{ TeV} \\ 80 \text{ GeV} \le m_{H^{\pm}} < 1 \text{ TeV} \text{ (type I)} & 580 \text{ GeV} \le m_{H^{\pm}} < 1 \text{ TeV} \text{ (type II)} \end{aligned}$$
(28)

> Duarte Azevedo

Next generatio

CLIC

Scalar Extensions CxSM (C)2HDM N2HDM NMSSM

Parameter Scan ScannerS

General remarks

SM-like Higgs Non SM-like Higgs

Conclusion

Backup

Parameters Range 3/3 NMSSM

For the NMSSM we used NMSSMTools for computation of the particle spectrum and its higher order corrections. It also enables a cross-check with:

- Low energy observables.
- HiggsBounds.
- MicrOMEGAs.

Signal strengths are computed from the SM processes multiplied by the effective couplings calculated with <code>NMSSMTools</code>.

Parameter range:

-

	t_{β}	λ	к	<i>M</i> ₁	<i>M</i> ₂	<i>M</i> ₃	A _t	A _b	$A_{ au}$ in Te	m _{Q̃3} ℃	m _{Ĩ,3}	A_{λ}	A_{κ}	μ_{eff}
min	1	0	-0.7	0.1	0.2	1.3	-6	-6	-3	0.6	0.6	-2	-2	-5
max	50	0.7	0.7	1	2	7	6	6	3	4	4	2	2	5

Table: Input parameters for the NMSSM scan.

Perturbative unitarity is ensured by enforcing the rough constraint

$$\lambda^2 + \kappa^2 < 0.7^2 \tag{29}$$