

The Standard Model Higgs and beyond

Michele Gallinaro

LIP Lisbon

May 18, 2017

- ✓ The Higgs boson and beyond
- ✓ Charged Higgs
- ✓ BSM Higgs: light pseudo-scalar, non-SM Higgs decay
- ✓ Higgs boson and Dark Matter

p.s. Top quark mass masurements





The Standard Model…

Building blocks: matter (fermions), forces (bosons)





- Electroweak theory is based on underlying symmetry between the two interactions
- Simple Lagrangian formalism describes this very well but only for massless particles....

…and the Higgs boson

How do particles acquire their masses?

- Hand inserted mass terms destroy gauge invariance (local)
- Need gauge invariant mechanism to generate mass terms
- Higgs mechanism is simplest way to do it



The Higgs mechanism

- Introduce additional scalar field
- Additional terms with mass appear
- Vacuum expectation value ≠0
- Particles move through field which gives them mass



"what makes everything ~~solid~~" *massive*

Bruce Springsteen

Testing the SM

- Measured to incredible precision
- Largest deviations to 3%
- After LEP: scale of the "missing piece" accurately predicte
- SM is a predictive theory





The Higgs

- Higgs mass is not predicted in the SM
- But it can be related directly or via loops to the mass of known particles and other observables
- Before the top quark was found
- Including the top, precision measurements yielded





$$M_H = 89^{+22}_{-18}\ \mathrm{GeV}$$



Higgs "self-coupling"

Drives the stability of the Higgs potential

- Knowing the Higgs mass, and VEV we know it
- We can measure it from rare processes h→hh



- Alternatively, test if SM is consistent at higher scales

⇒Depending on the top mass, Universe may be unstable



LEP: Hunting for the Higgs boson



Higgs production at LEP









At LEP: look for 3rd generation decays

Process		Topology	Fraction	Backgrounds
$H \to b\bar{b}$  $Z \to q\bar{q}$		4-jets	51%	WW → qqqq ZZ → qqqq QCD 4-jets
$H \to b\bar{b}$  $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$		missing energy	15%	WW → qqlν ZZ → bbνν
$H \to b\bar{b}$  $Z \to \tau^+\tau^-$		τ-channel	2.4%	WW → qqτν ZZ → bbττ ZZ → qqττ QCD low mult. jets
$H \to \tau^+\tau^-$  $Z \to q\bar{q}$		τ-channel	5.1%	
$H \to b\bar{b}$  $Z \to e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$		lepton channel	4.9%	ZZ → bbee ZZ → bbμμ

LEP H→bb candidate



Summary of LEP candidates

- Invariant mass of all candidates
- Total of 17 candidates selected
  - 15.8 bkg expected
- Expectations for m_H=115GeV
- 8.4 evts
- Corresponding excess not observed
- Set limits:

  $\Rightarrow m_H > 114.4$ GeV @95%CL



Searches at the Tevatron



Higgs production at Tevatron







Look for all possible decays

- Window of maximum opportunity (most "democratic") at ~125 GeV
- Couplings to gluons and photons available through top and W loops



Most sensitive channel at the Tevatron







- At low mass, use h→bb final state
  - Associated production with W or Z
  - Challenging b-tagging, jet resolution
  - Backgrounds: top, W/Z+heavy flavor, VV
- At high mass, use H→WW final state
  - Benefit from high gluon-gluon cross section
  - Challenging lepton acceptance, MET
  - Backgrounds: top, VV

WW channel

- Decay products from resonance
  - 2ν take one degree of freedom
  - Cannot reconstruct full mass
  - Use transverse mass (jacobian peak)

$$M_{T_{WW}} = \sqrt{(\not{E}_T + E_{T_{\ell^+\ell^-}})^2 - (\vec{p}_{T_{\ell^+\ell^-}} + \vec{\not{p}}_T)^2}$$



- Helicity conservation after H decay
  - N helicity is pre-determined (massless)
  - Dileptons recoils against 2ν preferentially





The H→WW discriminator











More complex multivariate analyses

Generalize MVA concept

- Signal and control regions
- Address specific experimental effects: improve resolution, energy scale, identification criteria
- Reject specific backgrounds
- Keep everything in the analysis: if there is any problem it will appear in the control region





Limits, measurements, etc.

How much “space” is statistically allowed for the signal?

- Free parameters is signal strength $\mu = \sigma_{obs}/\sigma_{theory}$
- Compare data with expectations using max likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{data}\,|\,\mu,\theta) = \mathrm{Poisson}\left(\,\mathrm{data}\,|\,\mu\cdot s(\theta)+b(\theta)\,\right)\cdot p(\tilde{\theta}|\theta)$$

signal expected

background expected

nuisance parameters affecting rates or shapes

Nuisance parameters quantify uncertainty in the rates

Limit settings

- Use pseudo-experiments and obtain distribution of test statistics
- Background-only case μ=0 signal+background case μ=1
- Best values for the nuisances fit to data in each case must be used coherently
- What is the probability that each case exceeds the observed value



Combination points to something incompatible with background-only hypothesis at 3σ level

Quantifying the excess at the Tevatron



- Scan the mass looking for compatibility of different channels
- At m_H=125 GeV
  $\Rightarrow \mu = 1.4 \pm 0.7$
- Consistent between different channels and with indirect limits from precision measurement

When to claim discovery?

Signal significance:

$$S = \frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_S + N_B}}$$

S>5: Signal $N_S = N_{tot} - N_B$ is 5 times larger than statistical uncertainty on $N_B + N_S$

Gaussian probability upward fluctuation by more than 5σ is observed…

$$P_{5\sigma} = 10^{-7}$$

⇒discovery

Situation in early 2012

Very precise measurement of M_W = 80.390 ± 0.016 GeV, driven mainly by the Tevatron.

Much of the SM Higgs range had been ruled out by 2011 LHC running.

Excess of events in the low mass region seen in ATLAS and CMS



Exclusions of M_H:
- LEP < 114 GeV (arXiv:0602042v1)
- Tevatron [156,177] GeV (arXiv:1107.5518)
- LHC [~127, 600] GeV arXiv:1202.1408 (ATLAS) arXiv:1202.1488 (CMS)

Higgs production

87%



7.1%



4.9%



0.6%





Higgs decays

5 decay modes studied:

- High mass: WW, ZZ
- Low mass: bb, ττ, WW, ZZ, γγ
- Low mass region is very challenging
- Very good mass resolution ~1% (γγ, 4l)



Decay mode	Production tagging	No. of subchannels	m_H range (GeV)	Int. Lum. (fb^{-1}) 7 TeV	8 TeV
$\gamma\gamma$	untagged dijet (VBF)	4 1 or 2	110–150	5.1	5.3
ZZ	untagged	3	110–600	5.1	5.3
WW	untagged dijet (VBF)	4 1 or 2	110–600	4.9	5.1
$\tau\tau$	untagged dijet (VBF)	16 4	110–145	4.9	5.1
bb	lepton, E_T^{miss} (VH)	10	110–135	5.0	5.1

CMS γγ event

- Search for a narrow mass peak with two isolated photons on a smoothly falling distribution
  - Good resolution ~1% in the barrel



H→γγ: analysis strategy

Two inclusive analyses:

- MVA: photons selected with BDT
- Cut-based: photons selected with cuts

- Analysis optimized by categorizing events by γ ID
  - MVA analysis for γ-ID and event classification
  - Divide events into non-overlapping samples
  - Cross-check with cut-based analysis
  - MVA gives ~15% better sensitivity





H→γγ: analysis strategy

Two inclusive analyses:

- MVA: photons selected with BDT
- Cut-based: photons selected with cuts

- Analysis optimized by categorizing events by γ ID
  - MVA analysis for γ-ID and event classification
  - Divide events into non-overlapping samples
  - Cross-check with cut-based analysis
  - MVA gives ~15% better sensitivity



H→γγ: analysis strategy

- Analysis optimized by categorizing events by γ ID
  - MVA analysis for γ-ID and event classification
  - Divide events into non-overlapping samples
  - Cross-check with cut-based analysis
  - MVA gives ~15% better sensitivity



H→γγ: results





- Largest excess at ~125 GeV
  - Similar excess in 2011 and 2012

H→ZZ→4e, 4μ, 2e2μ

- Signal: 4 isolated leptons from same vertex
  - Small background
  - Fully reconstructed, mass resolution ~1%



The golden channel


CMS Preliminary
Events / 3 GeV
Data
m_H=126 GeV
$Z\gamma^*$,ZZ
Z+X
Mar 14, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 0.0 fb^{-1}
Apr 30, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 0.2 fb^{-1}
May 27, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 0.4 fb^{-1}
Jun 27, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 0.9 fb^{-1}
Jul 30, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 1.1 fb^{-1}
Aug 21, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 2.0 fb^{-1}
Sep 29, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 3.2 fb^{-1}
Oct 27, 2011
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
Apr 20, 2012
m_{4l} [GeV]
g
g
H
Z^0
Z^{0*}
ℓ'^-
ℓ'^+
ℓ^-
ℓ^+

H→ZZ→4l

Mass distribution



Significance slightly smaller than expectations



A beautiful peak



Mass spectrum





Offline selection cuts:
5 (7) GeV for μ (e)
in $|\eta| < 2.4$ (2.5).

Very good control of the dominant ZZ background

M(4l)>160 GeV
Data 380
MC 364.5

Clean signal peak at ~126 GeV

σ_{SM}(th) = 7.8 ± 0.6 pb

σ(pp →ZZ, 8TeV) = 8.4±1.0 (stat.) ± 0.7 (syst.) ± 0.4(lum.) pb

Low mass-resolution channels













Combined: SM Higgs limits





Combined results

Excess at 125 GeV:

- in 7 TeV data: 3.0 σ
- in 8 TeV data: 3.8 σ

High sensitivity channels: $\gamma\gamma$, 4l

Decay mode/combination	Expected (σ)	Observed (σ)
$\gamma\gamma$	2.8	4.1
ZZ	3.6	3.1
$\tau\tau$ + bb	2.4	0.4
$\gamma\gamma$ + ZZ	4.7	5.0
$\gamma\gamma$ + ZZ + WW	5.2	5.1
$\gamma\gamma$ + ZZ + WW + $\tau\tau$ + bb	5.8	5.0



Combined results

Overall strength: σ/σ_{SM}=0.87±0.23





Mass & couplings

Model-independent mass measurement from high resolution channels:

⇒ m_X=125±0.4(stat)±0.5(syst) GeV





⇒more data to draw definite conclusions

2012: A new boson discovery





July 4th, 2012: A Higgs boson












Events / 3 GeV
Data
m_H=126 GeV
Zγ*,ZZ
Z+X
May 31, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 2.0 fb^{-1}
Jun 18, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 4.4 fb^{-1}
Jul 30, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 6.7 fb^{-1}
Aug 31, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 9.5 fb^{-1}
Sep 30, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 11.3 fb^{-1}
Oct 31, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 15.7 fb^{-1}
Nov 30, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 19.0 fb^{-1}
Dec 05, 2012
$\sqrt{s}$ = 7 TeV: L = 5.1 fb^{-1}
$\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV: L = 19.6 fb^{-1}
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
80
100
200
300
400
600
800
m_{4l} [GeV]




g
g
H
Z^0
Z^{0*}
ℓ'^-
ℓ'^+
ℓ^-
ℓ^+

Higgs boson

PRD 89 (2014) 092007, PLB726(2013)088, HIG-16-040, HIG-16-041

- Progress since Higgs discovery (July 2012)
  - Observation in boson channels
  - Evidence for fermion couplings
  - Precision mass measurement (~125 GeV)
  - Spin determined
- It looks more like SM Higgs boson





Mass in the individual channels



- Most accurate measurement in the γγ and 4l channels
- Some “tension” between the four measurements (p-value ~10%)

Couplings: individual channels

EPJC 75(2015)212, arXiv:1507.04548, arXiv:1606.02266

Results based on the full
Run 1 data samples



Search for rare decays

PLB 726(2013)587, arXiv:1507.03031, HIG-15-012, PRL 114(2015)121801, ATLAS-CONF-2016-041 , ATLAS-CONF-2017-014







H→invisible (difficult at LHC)



B(H→inv)~0.0011

Higgs and BSM

ATLAS-CONF-2015-044, CMS-HIG-15-002

- Is there BSM physics hidden in the "Higgs sector"?



$$(\sigma \cdot \mathrm{BR})\,(gg \to H \to \gamma\gamma) \;=\; \sigma_{SM}(gg \to H) \cdot \mathrm{BR}_{SM}(H \to \gamma\gamma) \cdot \frac{\kappa_g^2 \cdot \kappa_\gamma^2}{\kappa_H^2}$$

Strategy: parametrize deviations wrt SM in production and decay
⇒ loops are sensitive to BSM physics



Experimental approach

- Measure H(125) properties
- Search for additional Higgs bosons
- Search for BSM in signatures with Higgs bosons
- Search for BSM Higgs decays

Looking for new particles

JHEP08(2016)045

- Constrain BR_{BSM} in a scenario with free parameters
- $\Gamma_{tot}=\Gamma_{WW}+\Gamma_{ZZ}+\Gamma_{bb}+\ldots +\Gamma_{BSM}$
- Likelihood scan vs BR_{BSM}
- Assuming couplings bound by SM expectations (k_v<1)
- $0 \leq BR_{BSM} \leq 0.34$ at 95%CL



Constraining Higgs width

PLB 736(2014)64

obs.(exp.) @95%CL:
$\Gamma_H < 5.4(8.0)\Gamma_H^{SM}$
$\Gamma_H < 22(33)$MeV

- couplings and width sensitive probes to BSM
- indirectly constrained in coupling fits
- off-peak to on-peak ratio proportional to Γ_H
- constrain Higgs boson width by using off-shell production/decay
- measure ratio of $\sigma^{\text{off-peak}}$ to $\sigma^{\text{on-peak}}$

$$\sigma^{\text{on-peak}}_{gg\to H\to ZZ} \propto \frac{g^2_{ggH} g^2_{HZZ}}{\Gamma_H}, \qquad \sigma^{\text{off-peak}}_{gg\to H\to ZZ} \propto g^2_{ggH} g^2_{HZZ}$$

F. Caola, K. Melnikov PRD88(2013)054024
J. Campbell et al. arXiv:1311.3589

  – g_{ggH} and g_{HZZ}: couplings to gluons and bosons
- measurement of Γ_H



Couplings: decays

ATLAS-CONF-2015-044, CMS-HIG-15-002, JHEP08(2016)045

BSM physics in the loop



Vector and fermion couplings



BR_{BSM} can be measured

$BR_{BSM} < 0.34$ at 95% C.L. (assuming $\kappa_V \leq 1$)

BR_{BSM} includes non standard decays, visible or invisible

$\Rightarrow$ Results in agreement with SM (k_V=k_F=1) within 1σ

High mass: H→WW/ZZ

JHEP 10(2015)144, HIG-16-033, HIG-16-034

- Search for a heavy Higgs boson
  - H→ZZ→4ℓ, 2ℓ2ν, 2ℓqq
  - H→WW→2ℓ2ν, 2ℓqq
- optimized separately for VBF and gluon fusion production processes
- SM-like Higgs boson excluded in 4ℓ and 2ℓ2ν/ℓνqq channels at 95%CL in mass ranges up to 1000 GeV
- Search interpreted in BSM scenario (heavy Higgs, heavy EWK singlet state)
  - evolution of signal strength of the singlet state with modified couplings/width wrt SM.
  - assume new scalar does not decay to any new particle

No significant excess

⇒set limits: $\sigma \cdot B(X \to ZZ \to 4\ell)$

~ a few fb@m_X=400GeV

~1fb@m_X=1TeV



high-mass searches improve at 13TeV

Extending searches

- Minimal Supersymmetric SM (MSSM)
  - Neutral Higgs: $\phi \rightarrow \tau\tau/bb/\mu\mu$
  - Charged Higgs
- Next-to-MSSM
  - Light pseudoscalar: $h \rightarrow aa$
  - Non-SM decays: $h \rightarrow 2a \rightarrow 4\tau/4\mu$
  - Heavy Higgs: $H \rightarrow h_{125}h_{125}$ or $A \rightarrow Zh_{125}$
- FCNC: $t \rightarrow cH$

Higgs sector in the MSSM

Higgs sector in SUSY contains two scalar doublets:

- 5 physical Higgs bosons
  - 3 neutral: CP-even ϕ=h,H CP-odd A
  - 2 charged $H^{\pm}$
- SM-like Higgs boson: h

Neutral Higgs ϕ decay modes:

- BR($\phi \rightarrow$bbar)~90%
- BR($\phi \rightarrow \tau\tau$)~10%
- BR($\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu$)~0.1%

Two main production modes:

- gg$\rightarrow$H
- $b\bar{b}$H





Neutral MSSM Higgs

JHEP 10(2014)212, arXiv:1409.6064

- Enhanced couplings of MSSM Higgs to down-type fermions (large tanβ)

⇒increased BR to τ leptons and b-quarks



- Search for neutral MSSM Higgs boson
- 5 final states used: $\mu\tau_h$, $e\tau_h$, $\tau_h\tau_h$, $e\mu$, $\mu\mu$
  - Reconstruct tau-pair invariant mass
  - Split in b-tag/no b-tag categories to enhance sensitivity
- Main backgrounds: $Z\to\tau\tau$, QCD/W+jets, DY,ttbar, dibosons



Neutral MSSM Higgs: $\phi \rightarrow \tau\tau$

JHEP 10(2014)212, arXiv:1409.6064, CMS-HIG-16-037

- Direct search: inclusive and b-tagged
- τ in both leptonic and hadronic decays

$\tan\beta$ vs m_A window becoming smaller



Model-independent limits by separating production modes



No significant excess over bkg expectations

Neutral MSSM Higgs: $\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu$

arXiv:1508.01437, ATLAS-CONF-2012-094

- Search for a $\mu\mu$ mass resonance
- Good mass resolution
  - full and clean reconstructed final state
- Split in b-tagged and non b-tagged categories to be sensitive to $gg \rightarrow \phi$ and $bb\phi$ production modes
- Main backgrounds: Z(bbar), ttbar, WW









Charged Higgs

- If found, a clear indication of BSM
- Study non-SM Higgs in two mass regimes:
- $m_H < m_{top}$
  - Mostly produced in top quark decays
  - Large $\tan\beta$: $H^{\pm} \to \tau^{+}\nu$
  - Small $\tan\beta$ (<1): $H^{+} \to c\bar{s}$
- $m_H > m_{top}$
  - Produced in gluon-gluon fusion
  - Main decays: $H^{+} \to tb$, $H^{+} \to \tau^{+}\nu$
- Main backgrounds: ttbar, W+jets



Charged Higgs (cont.)

- Different strategies for low- and high-mass searches
- tau+lepton, lep+jets, and eμ final states
- b-tagged jet categorization
- limited by statistics at high-mass





$m_H < m_{top}$



$m_H > m_{top}$

Charged Higgs and top quark decays

JHEP 07(2012)143, arXiv:1508.07774, HIG-16-031

- Look for charged Higgs in four final states:
  - Tau+lepton (electron or muon)
  - Dilepton (tau decays leptonically)
  - lepton+jets
  - Fully hadronic: tau+jets



τ_h+jets



τ_h+lepton (e/μ)



di-lepton (eμ)



Looking at tau decays

CMS-HIG-12-052

Low H^+ mass:

- Use R variable in the limit extraction: binned maximum-likelihood fit
- Tau fake component is data-driven, includes uncertainties

SM



vs

BSM





Number of b-tagged jets



High-mass H⁺ search: look at b-tag multiplicity





Is there a charged Higgs?

JHEP 07(2012)143, CMS-HIG-12-052, arXiv:1508.07774

- If anomalous tau/lepton production in ttbar decays there may be contribution from H^+

Yields in agreement with expectations ⇒ set limits

m_H: 80-160 GeV $\mathcal{B}(t \to bH^+) <$ 1.2-0.3%

200-600 GeV $\sigma(pp \to \bar{t}(b)H^+) <$ 2.0-0.2 pb







At 13TeV, expect improvement with 5-10/fb for m_{H+}>300GeV ⇒

- ttbar xsection increases x3.3
- signal increases x6(x7) for m_{H+}=500(600)GeV

Still hope for MSSM?

JHEP 07(2012)143, CMS-HIG-12-052, arXiv:1508.07774

- A new modified MSSM scenario: m_h^{mod} (arXiv:1302.7033)
- Reduce amount of mixing in the stop sector (X_t/M_{SUSY})
- A/H decays to chargino/neutralinos allowed (arXiv:0709.1029)
- Allows for reduction of decays into ττ and bb





Cross section ratios

PRD 80(2009) 071102

Many systematic unc. cancel in the ratio
Study of cross section ratios
⇨sensitive to BSM

1. BR(ℓ+jets)/BR($\ell\ell$)
2. BR(ℓ+tau)/BR($\ell\ell$)



$B(t \to H^+ b \to c\bar{s}b)$

lept.+jets

$B(t \to H^+ b \to \tau^+ \nu b)$

tau leptons





Combination of more channels

JHEP 03(2013)076

- Search for charged Higgs boson
- Use τ_{had}+lep and τ_{had}+jets final states
  - compare to eμ yields
- Search for anomalous decays





Set limits on:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow bH^+)}$$

Light charged Higgs: csbar

JHEP 12(2015)1, arXiv:1510.04252

- H→csbar decay
  - dominant in low tanβ region
- Lepton+jet final states
- Dominant bkg from ttbar
- Kinematic fit to reconstruct W/H mass
- Set model-independent limits on BR(t→H⁺b)~2-7%







Doubly charged Higgs

EPJC 72 (2012) 2189, CMS-HIG-14-039, HIG-16-036

- Model
  - SM extended with scalar triplet (Φ^{++}, Φ^{+}, Φ^{o})
  - Triplet responsible for neutrino masses
  - Search for doubly- and singly-charged
  - DY pair production is most common
  - SS lepton pair of any flavor combination
- Search with ≥3 leptons of any flavor
  - Search for excess of events in one or more flavor combinations of SS lepton pairs
- Dilepton invariant mass as discriminant







non-SM Higgs decay: h→aa→4X

- Standard search for light (pseudo)- scalar Higgs with $m_a < m_h/2$
  - generic prediction of BSM theories (extended Higgs sector, NMSSM, etc)
  - Final states go to fermions (b, τ, μ, …)
  - BR depends on boson mass, model parameters





non-SM Higgs decay: h→aa→4μ

PLB 726(2013)564, arXiv:1506.00424, HIG-16-035



- Explore non-SM decays of a Higgs boson (h)
  - Higgs boson (h) can be SM or not
  - include production of two new light boson (a^0)
- Search for generic Higgs decays: h→2a+X→4μ+X
  - Require two dimuon pairs with consistent masses
  - Observe 9 events in off-diagonal region
  - Signal region: 1 event (2.2±0.7 bkg)
  - Limits on production rates, benchmark models



NMSSM and Dark SUSY Limits

PLB 726(2013)564, arXiv:1506.00424

Results interpreted in NMSSM and dark SUSY

- Dark SUSY: h decay to pair of neutralinos (n_1): LSP

$\mu\mu$

$n_1 \rightarrow n_D \gamma_D$ decays

invisible





- NMSSM: Extend MSSM by adding a complex singlet field (1 CP-even+1 CP-odd boson)
- NMSSM: $h_{1,2} \rightarrow 2a_1$; $a_1 \rightarrow 2\mu$
- Compare to SM Higgs cross section



non-SM Higgs decay: $H_{125} \to 2h(a) \to 4\tau$

JHEP01(2016)079

- Search for very light Higgs in NMSSM
  - $h_{1,2}$ (CP-even), $a_{1,2}$ (CP-odd) to a pair of τ leptons
  - $H(125) \to h_1 h_2$ $(a_1 a_2) \to 4\tau$
- Reconstruct μ-track invar. mass (m_1, m_2)
  - SS dimuon sample (removes DY)
  - bin in 2-dim distribution, fit signal and bkg
  - QCD bkg from control region
- No excess over SM backgrounds







Summary for Higgs exotic decays



Low mass Higgs: a(→ττ)bb

arXiv:1511.03610

- Low mass Higgs in the NMSSM
- Low mass pseudo-scalar ($a_1 \to \tau\tau$) in association with bbar: $a_1 bb \to \tau\tau$ bb
- Similar strategy to H→ττ
- Search for a_1 masses below Z mass
- No evidence for signal
- Set limits: σxB~9-39 pb





di-Higgs searches

- Destructive interference in SM
- Could be altered in BSM
- If constructive, it could be large enhancement
- In SM, only σ=33fb at 13 TeV
- Study different final states

		BR	Mass scale
(X→)hh→	bbbb	34%	High
	$bb\tau\tau$	7.3%	High
	bbWW	27%	High
	$bb\gamma\gamma$	0.26%	Low

non-resonant production



resonant production



Heavy Higgs to $h_{125}h_{125} \rightarrow \tau\tau bb$

CMS-EXO-15-008, CMS-HIG-16-012, CMS-HIG-17-002





- Resonant and non-resonant production
  - Double Higgs production to determine λ_{hhh}
  - Check couplings: $\kappa_\lambda=\lambda_{hh}/\lambda_{hhh}^{SM}$; $\kappa_t=y_t/y_t^{SM}$
  - BSM could enhance non-resonant hh production
  - $H \rightarrow h_{125}h_{125} \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$
- h_{125} decay products nearly collinear
  - boosted "single" merged jet ($\rightarrow$bb)
- use $\tau_e\tau_h$, $\tau_\mu\tau_h$, and $\tau_h\tau_h$ final states
  - sidebands/inverted isolation to estimate bkg
- set limits as function of mass



Heavy Higgs: $H \to h_{125} h_{125}$, $A \to Z h_{125}$

PRD90(2014)112013, PLB755(2016)217

- MSSM: Heavy Higgs searches
  - Search for $A \to Zh_{125}$ and $H \to hh$
- Exclusive search in multilepton and +lepton channels
- Search for FCNC decays



- $H \to WW^* \to \ell\nu\ell\nu$,
- $H \to \tau\tau$,
- $H \to ZZ^* \to jj\ell\ell, \nu\nu\ell\ell, \ell\ell\ell\ell$,
- $H \to \gamma\gamma$.



- Search for tt→(bW)(ch)
  - Not forbidden but highly suppressed
  - enhanced w/some parameter models
- SM Higgs now a background
  - ATLAS: $H \to \gamma\gamma$
  - CMS: $H \to \gamma\gamma$ and multileptons
- b-tag provides bkg suppression

Process	SM	QS	2HDM-III	FC-2HDM	MSSM
$t \to u\gamma$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	—	—	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$t \to uZ$	$8 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	—	—	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$t \to uH$	$2 \cdot 10^{-17}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$	—	10^{-5}
$t \to c\gamma$	$4.6 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$\sim 10^{-6}$	$\sim 10^{-9}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$t \to cZ$	$1 \cdot 10^{-14}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$\sim 10^{-7}$	$\sim 10^{-10}$	$2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$t \to cH$	$3 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\sim 10^{-5}$	10^{-5}

FCNC decays

	ATLAS obs(exp)	CMS
BR(t→cH) (95%CL)	<0.79% (0.51%)	<0.56% (0.65%)

LFV in Higgs decays

PLB 763(2016)472, CMS-HIG-16-005

- Some BSM models allow for LFV Higgs decays
- Search for H→eτ, eμ, μτ final states
- Categories: N_{jet}, lepton kinematics
  - N_{jet} to target ggH and VBF production
- Main background from DY, ttbar, WW

	95%CL (obs/exp)	Best fit
h->μτ (run1)	<1.51/0.75%	$0.84^{+0.39}_{-0.37}$%
h->μτ (run2)	<1.20/1.62%	$-0.76^{+0.81}_{-0.84}$%







Dark Matter+Higgs

arXiv:1510.06218, arXiv:1506.01081

- Generic search: pp→X+MET
- Search for DM + h(→bb)
- Model-independent search
  - Signature: h(→ZZ/bb/γγ)+MET
  - Simplified model with Z' or pseudo-scalar Higgs A(→χχ)
- Signal events at large MET





DM particle (χ): can be scalar or fermion
Pseudo-scalar Higgs A





Summary

- Excellent consistency of SM but SM is incomplete
- Extensions foresee existence of additional bosons
- Searches for BSM bosons natural companion to precision SM Higgs boson measurements
  - Charged Higgs searches with top quark decays
  - Other BSM searches show no indication of deviations
- Searches provide no hints for BSM yet