## Photomultipliers: Uniformity measurements

L. Pereira<sup>1</sup>, A. Morozov<sup>1</sup>, M. M. Fraga<sup>1</sup>, L. M. S. Margato<sup>1</sup>, P. Assis<sup>2</sup>, R. Conceição<sup>2</sup>, F. A. F. Fraga<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LIP - Coimbra

<sup>2</sup> LIP - Lisboa



## Outline

- Photomultiplier tubes
- The discovery of the cosmic radiation
- Air fluorescence in the context of the cosmic radiation detection
- Uniformity of the Auger FD PMTs
- Position sensitive micropattern gaseous neutron detector with optical readout
- Simulations with ANTS: Anger-camera Neutron detector Toolkit for Simulation



## Photomultiplier Tubes (PMT)

#### Good

- High gain: up to 10<sup>7</sup>
- Low dark count
- Fast response time: RT&FT<1ns</p>
- Large sensitive areas



#### Bad

- Bulky
- Unstable
- Sensitive to magnetic fields
- High voltage







## Radiant Sensitivity and Quantum Efficiency





## The discovery of cosmic rays

For some time it was believed that Earth was the only source of natural radiation.

Between 1911 and 1912 Viktor Hess climbed into a balloon up to altitudes higher as 5000 m, and proved that there was a penetrating radiation that crossed the atmosphere and increased with altitude.

He gave this phenomenon the name "Cosmic Radiation", which later evolved to "Cosmic Rays".



Hess received the Nobel prize in 1936 for the discovery of the cosmic rays.



Years later in 1938, Pierre Auger discovered that cosmic rays interact with the atmosphere creating a particle shower.

He estimated that the primary particles (i.e. the particles that create the shower) should be very energetic  $(10^{15} \text{ eV})$ .







The particle shower is accompanied with the emission of light due to the interaction with the air molecules.

 Almost all light is emitted between 300 and 400 nm, and is produced during the de-excitation of the nitrogen molecules.





The scintillation light yield is proportional to the energy of the cosmic ray.

### Which means that...

If we are able to measure the amount of light during an air shower we can determined the energy of the cosmic: Fluorescence Telescopes.



The first attempts to observe the extensive air showers through the observation of the scintillation date from the 60's, in the University of Cornell (USA). The research group included the Post-Graduated student Alan Bunner, who's PhD thesis became a reference.

Bunner, A. N., *Cosmic Ray Detection by Atmospheric Fluorescence*, Ph. D. Thesis, Cornell University (1967).

COSMIC RAY DETECTION BY ATMOSPHERIC FLUORESCENCE

A Thesis Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Comell University for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

> by Alan Newton Bunner February, 1967



## Pierre Auger Observatory

Fluorescence detector camera: 440 PMT

## Photonis XP3062

Hexagonalbi-alkali8 stage





## PMT Uniformity

# Variation of the anodic signal along the photocathode's surface







## Area dependence of the anode sensitivity: Experimental system



- XY table, ~ 25 µm resolution
- Multistage collimator, 1 mm holes, 1 mm FWHM beam profile
- LEDs: 340 ± 15 nm, 370 nm ± 10 nm and 395 nm ± 15 nm ...
- Bursts of several 200 ns width pulses per position
- The read out linearity was checked for the whole dynamic range using neutral density filters



#### XP3062, SN: 028198, 370 nm











#### XP3062, SN: 028198, 340 nm











However for an Gama Camera grade PMT...

## Photonis XP5602

Round
Ø effective = 56 mm
bi-alkali
8 stage







XP5602 SN:01093, Anodic Sensitivity, contour plot





L . Pereira



## The Anger Camera

In 1957 Hal Anger invented the scintillation camera known also as the gamma camera or Anger camera

### 

## **Localization - Position Weighed Sum**







Technetium (99mTc) sestamibi upper body scan for thyroid evaluation.



## An Anger type optical readout, micropattern gaseous neutron detector





Microstrip: IMT, Masken und Teileungen AG SN: 850771396 Pitch = 1 mm Anode width = 10  $\mu$ m Cathode width = 600  $\mu$ m

## Avalanche light

3 bar CF4
 V <sub>Anode - Cathode</sub> = 1.85 kV
 I ~ 80 nA





The irradiated area spanned ~16 anodes



## Hamamatsu R5070A

Ordered by ILL to the large scale prototype

**General caracteristics** 



Ø 25 mm

Multialkalli photocathode

Q.E. : ~10% at 300 nm maximum of ~20% at 420 nm ~2% at 800 nm

Prismatic borosilicate window Square pyramids 1 x 1 mm<sup>2</sup> base, 45° half angle



Cathode radiant sensitivity and quantum efficiency of the Hamamatsu R5070A as a function of the wavelength of the incident light



SN 6203,  $\lambda = 395 \text{ nm}$ 





#### PMT-to-PMT variation for the same model











### Fine detail analysis



Relative anode sensitivity of an  $18 \times 4 \text{ mm}^2$  area scanned with a 0.1 mm step.

~ 10 %

Sensitivity varies according the prismatic structure pitch. These variations may be larger than 10 %.





## Effect of the earth magnetic field in the sensitivity pattern



#### Position 2, 180°





### With magnetic shielding (mu-metal)

#### Pos 1, 0°



#### Pos 2, 180°





## Wavelength dependence







## Angle dependence of the anode sensitivity: Experimental system



Step motor: 1.8° / step



## λ = 395 nm





## λ = 630 nm





## Simulations with ANTS

Anger-camera Neutron detector Toolkit for Simulation

[Andrei Morozov: andrei@coimbra.lip.pt]

### **Main parameters**

Fix

#### **PMTs**

Configuration: 7 Hexagonal d<sub>PMT</sub> center-to-center: 27 mm Window: borosilicate Window thickness: 5mm

#### **Simulation parameters**

N<sup>o</sup> of neutrons: 1000 Photons per neutron in 4π: 360000 Event location algorithm: Center of gravity (Anger)

#### **Scintillation spectrum**

CF<sub>4</sub> - 5 bar

#### Variable

PMT diameter: 21 mm (effective) or 25 mm (w/ experimental uniformity maps) PMT-MS : 10 mm e 15 mm Angular dependence: ACTIVATEd (w/ exp. data) / NOT ACTIVATED Uniformity: ACTIVATED (w/ exp. data) / NOT ACTIVATED









Distance between the MSCG plane and PMTs = 15 mm

Table 1	Flat	uniformity	/ and	angular	dener	Idence
	1 Iai	unnonnuy		angular	ucpui	

X (mm)	Y (mm)	Res. X (mm)	Res. Y (mm)
0	0	0.717	0.742
19.5	0	0.655	0.786
13.5	6.5	0.605	0.601



 Table 2 Angular dependence.

X (mm)	Y (mm)	Res. X (mm)	Res. Y (m	n)	Var. Res. X (%)	Var. Res. Y (%)
0	0	0.635	0.623		-9	-12
19.5	0	0.343	0.556		-8	-11
13.5	6.5	0.282	0.290		-8	-5

#### Table 3 Uniformity and angular dependence considered.

X (mm)	Y (mm)	Res. X (mm)	Res. Y (mr <mark>n</mark>	<b>)</b>  Va	ar. Res. X (%)	Var. Res. Y (%)
0	0	0.636	0.623		-7	-13
19.5	0	0.365	0.550		0	-2
13.5	6.5	0.293	0.315		-6	-1

 $\sigma < 2\%$ 



# The End