

Smoothed rotation measure: Possible signatures of ~0.1µG level on super-cluster scales!

Theoretical motivations from the Weibel instability which tends to drive field to fraction of thermal energy density

But need much more data from radio astronomy, e.g. Lofar, SKA

2MASS galaxy column density

Xu et al., astro-ph/0509826

## Propagation in structured extragalactic magnetic fields

Scenarios of extragalactic magnetic fields using large scale structure simulations with magnetic fields reaching few micro Gauss in galaxy clusters.



The simulated sky above  $4 \times 10^{19}$  eV with structured sources of density  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$  Mpc<sup>-3</sup> : ~2×10<sup>5</sup> simulated trajectories above 4×10<sup>19</sup> eV.



The simulated sky above  $10^{20}$  eV with structured sources of density  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$  Mpc<sup>-3</sup> : ~2×10<sup>5</sup> simulated trajectories above  $10^{20}$  eV.



Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010



Deflection in magnetized structures surrounding the sources lead to off-sets of arrival direction from source direction up to >10 degrees up to  $10^{20}$  eV in our simulations. This is contrast to Dolag et al., JETP Lett. 79 (2004) 583.

Particle astronomy not necessarily possible, especially for nuclei !

Cumulative deflection angle distributions for proton primaries

Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010

Recent results give intermediate and still significant deflections for proton primaries:





Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010



Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010



Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010

100. < E/EeV < 500.; dist< 3000.



Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010

## Conclusion:

A correlection with the local large scale structure is not necessarily destroyed by relatively large deflection, not even for iron, provided the field correlates with the large scale structure and deflection is mainly within that structure

It would mean that any correlation with specific sources does not identify particular sources, but only a source class that is distributed as the large scale structure

Instead of AGN it could be e.g. due to GRBs or magnetars

But galactic deflection is also large and in general does not align with with supergalactic plane

### Injection of Solar Abundances for Magnetized Sources

For an injection spectrum  $E^{-\alpha}$  elemental abundance at given energy E is modified to

$$\frac{dn_A}{dE}(E) = N \, x_A \, A^{\alpha - 1} \, E^{-\alpha}$$

where  $x_A$  is the abundance at given energy per nucleon E/A.



## Example: Acceleration of Mixed (Solar Metallicity) Composition at Cluster Accretion Shocks

Injection spectrum  $E^{-1.7}$  with rigidity  $E/Z < 5 \times 10^{18}$  eV (consistent with properties of cluster accretion shocks) and a source density ~ 2.4×10<sup>-6</sup> Mpc<sup>-3</sup>.



This scenario predicts an increasingly heavy composition at the highest energies.

## A particular instance of the

#### Mixed Composition Cluster Accretion Shocks Scenario



Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010

## Heavy Nuclei: Structured Fields and Individual Sources

Spectra and Composition of Fluxes from Single Discrete Sources considerably depend on Source Magnetization, especially for Sources within a few Mpc.



Source in the center; weakly magnetized observer modelled as a sphere shown in white at 3.3 Mpc distance.



Importance of deflection obvious from comparing energy loss/spallation time scales with delay times



horizontal line=straight line propagation time

low delay-time spike at ~50 EeV due to spallation nucleons produced outside source field. Energy loss times for helium (solid), carbon (dotted), silicon (dashed), and iron (dash-dotted).

# Discrete Extragalactic High Energy Neutrino Sources

Rough estimate of neutrino flux from hadronic AGN jets: The "proton blazar"



Following Halzen and Zas, Astrophys.J. 488 (1997) 669

1. Size of accelerators R  $\sim$  FT, where jet boost factor F  $\sim$  10 and duration of observed bursts T  $\sim$  1 day

2. Magnetic field strength in jet  $B^2 \sim \rho_{electron} \sim 1 \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$  (equipartition)

3. "Hillas condition" on maximal proton energy  $E_{max} \sim eBR$  and from  $p\gamma \rightarrow N\pi$ 

kinematics 
$$E_{max,v} \sim 0.1 E_{max} \sim 10^{18} \text{ eV}.$$

4. Neutrino luminosity related to  $\gamma$ -ray luminosity by  $L_{\nu} \sim 3L_{\gamma}/13$  from  $p\gamma \rightarrow N\pi$  kinematics

5. Assume proton spectrum  $dN_p/dE_p \propto E_p^{-2-\epsilon}$ ;  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum  $dN_\gamma/d\epsilon \propto \epsilon^{-2-\alpha}$ . If jet is optically thin against  $p\gamma$  then

$$\frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} \propto \frac{dN_p}{dE_p} (10 E_{\nu}) \int_{\epsilon_{\gamma}^{\rm thr}} d\epsilon_{\gamma} \frac{dN_{\gamma}}{d\epsilon_{\gamma}} \propto E_{\nu}^{-2-\epsilon} (\epsilon_{\gamma}^{\rm thr})^{-1-\alpha} \propto E_{\nu}^{-1-\epsilon+\alpha},$$

6. Combine with normalization:

$$\frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} \sim \frac{3}{13} \frac{L_{\gamma}}{E_{\max,\nu}} \frac{1 - \epsilon + \alpha}{E_{\nu}} \left(\frac{E_{\nu}}{E_{\max,\nu}}\right)^{-\epsilon + \alpha}$$

7. Fold with luminosity function of AGNs in GeV y-rays.





Halzen and Zas, Astrophys.J. 488 (1997) 669

The "grand unified" neutrino energy flux spectrum



The "grand unified" differential neutrino number spectrum



Freitag, 17. Dezember 2010

### Summary of neutrino production modes



#### Current Upper Limits at TeV-EeV energies



Note, however, that blazars promising as neutrino sources should be loud in GeV  $\gamma$ -rays, but NOT in  $\gamma$ -rays above TeV.

This is because such  $\gamma$ -rays pair produce with "blue bump" photons of ~10 eV energy with a cross section  $\sim \sigma_{Th} \sim 1$  b about a factor  $10^4$  larger than the p $\gamma$  cross section that produces the neutrinos => If loud in > TeV  $\gamma$ -rays, optical depth for neutrino production would be very small.



Neronov and Semikoz, Phys.Rev.D66 (2002) 123003

### A "guaranteed" flux from starburst galaxies:

Idea: protons loose most of their energy in form of pions => secondary electrons produce radio synchrotron => can be related to secondary neutrinos



#### Another estimate of neutrino fluxes from continuous UHECR sources

If f is the ratio of cosmic rays interacting within the source to the cosmic ray flux leaving the source and  $x_v \sim 0.05$  the average neutrino energy in units of primary energy, then

$$E^2 j_{\nu}^{\text{diff}}(E) \simeq \frac{1}{6\pi H_0} f \, x_{\nu}^{\alpha - 1} (\alpha - 2) Q_{\text{UHE}} \left(\frac{E}{10^{20} \,\text{eV}}\right)^{2 - \alpha}$$

In a water/ice detector the detection rate is

$$R_{\nu}(>E) \sim 2.3 \left(\frac{E}{10^{16} \,\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{-0.637} \left(\frac{V_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{km}^3}\right) \left(\frac{E^2 \, j_{\nu}^{\mathrm{diff}}(E)}{100 \,\mathrm{eV} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \,\mathrm{sr}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}}\right) \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$$

If the ankle marks the transition from galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays then a  $\sim 2.2$  and the neutrino spectrum goes down to  $\sim 10^{17}$  eV, with

$$R_{\nu} \sim 2 \times 10^{-2} f \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1} \, \mathrm{km}^{-3} < 20 \, Z^{-2} \left( \frac{L_{\mathrm{tot}}}{L_{\mathrm{min}}} \right) \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1} \, \mathrm{km}^{-3}$$

G.Sigl arXiv:0803.3800

where  $L_{tot}$  is the bolometric luminosity.

If the ankle is due to pair production of extragalactic cosmic rays, then a ~ 2.6 and the neutrino spectrum goes down to ~  $10^{16}$  eV, with

$$R_{\nu} \sim 5.5 f \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1} \,\mathrm{km}^{-3} < 180 \,Z^{-2} \left(\frac{L_{\mathrm{tot}}}{L_{\mathrm{min}}}\right) \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1} \,\mathrm{km}^{-3}$$

The low cross-over scenario where flux is dominated by extragalactic protons above  $4 \times 10^{17}$  eV may be close to be ruled out by AMANDA.

This, however, assumes transparent sources which cosmic rays have to leave as neutrons which each come with one  $\pi^+$  decaying into neutrinos.

