EXPERIMENTAL ASTROPARTICLES 1

25 July 2016

Vincent Poireau, LAPP Annecy



- Experimental astroparticles 1
 - Cosmic rays
 - Indirect search for dark matter
 - Some experiments
 - AMS-02: detailing a modern experiment
 - Recent results on cosmic rays and their implications

• Experimental astroparticles 2

- This afternoon, presented by Julien Masbou
- Cosmic rays with photons γ astronomy
- Cosmic rays at the highest energy

COSMIC RAYS



• 1736 – 1806 : Charles Augustin de Coulomb observed that a sphere initially charged and isolated loses its electrical charge







• 1736 – 1806 : Charles Augustin de Coulomb observed that a sphere initially charged and isolated loses its electrical charge



Beginning of 20th century



(1867-1934)

Henri Becquerel (1852-1908)

Ground

The radioactivity could explain the spontaneous discharge







Space

???

 1912: Victor Hess measures the atmospheric ionization with electroscopes during balloon flights at various altitudes: the ionization increases



• This ionization comes from space!



• From what are they composed? The debate is passionate in the 1920's



- Their intensity varies depending on where we are on Earth...
- Cosmic rays are charged particles!
 - More particle from the western direction: **positively charged**

- 1937: Pierre Auger positions three Geiger counters separated of 70 m at le pic du midi
- Cosmic rays arrive in group: atmospheric shower





Pierre Victor Auger (1899-1993)

- Many new particles discovered in the cosmic rays
 - 1932: positron e⁺ (first observation of antimatter)
 - 1936: muon μ
 - 1949: pion π
 - 1949: kaon K
 - 1949: lambda Λ
 - 1952: xi Ξ
 - 1953: sigma Σ



- Birth of a new science: particle physics!
- Cosmic rays are replaced by accelerators where particles are artificially produced





- Cosmic rays
 - 12 orders in energy
 - 100 MeV to 10²⁰ eV
 - 30 orders in **flux**
 - Isotropic flux
- Abondance of nuclei in the cosmic rays similar to the one from the solar system





COSMIC RAYS

- Composition
 - Charged : electrons, protons, nuclei
 - Neutral : photons, neutrinos
- Charged cosmic rays





• Power law spectrum $1/E^{\gamma}$, $\gamma = 2.7-3.5$

- The measured spectrum results
 - from the **production** and **acceleration** mechanisms $(1/E^{\alpha}, \alpha = 2.0-2.4)$
 - from the **diffusion** (1/E^{δ}, δ = 0.3-0.7)
- $\gamma = \alpha + \delta$



• Where are they coming from?





• Low energy cosmic rays are accelerated by the sun



Aurora borealis





• At intermediate energies, supernovae remants produce cosmic rays





• At extreme energies, active galaxy nuclei, quasars, or gamma ray bursts are potential candidates





- Primary cosmic rays
 - Produced direcly in the source
 - Sources: supernova remnants, pulsars, active galactic nuclei, quasars
 - Primaries include
 - Electrons, protons, helium, carbon, ...
- Secondary cosmic rays
 - Originate from the interaction of primaries on interstellar medium
 - Secondaries include
 - Positrons, antiprotons, bore, ...
- Additional sources of electrons and positrons?

ACCELERATION

- In our Galaxy, main source of primary cosmic rays: supernova remnants
 - Very strong magnetic field in the **shell** of supernovas



Acceleration

- Due to the **shock wave**
- First order Fermi mechanism
- Naturally produce a **power law** spectrum



• This process explains why the cosmic ray composition is similar to the one from the solar system

PROPAGATION

- Charged cosmic rays: propagation equivalent to a diffusion in the Galactic medium
 - **Irregular magnetic field** of the diffusive halo = random walk
 - **Diffusion** coefficient $K(E) = K_0 \beta R^{\delta} (R = p/Z)$
 - Free parameters: K_0 , δ , L, V_c , V_a
 - Large uncertainties on these parameters





SOLAR MODULATION

- Heliosphere: a region of space influenced by the sun (solar wind)
 - **Size**: 150 AU
- Solar wind: a continous flow of charged particles from sun
 - e⁻ and **p**
 - Carries the **sun magnetic field** to the interplanetary space
- Solar cycles
 - **Reversal** of the sun magnetic field polarity
 - Every **11 years**
 - Solar activity going from a minimum to a maximal intensity
- Solar modulation affects cosmic rays below 20 GeV
 - **Deviation** from the power law





INDIRECT SEARCH FOR DARK MATTER





• A very large fraction of the Universe content remains mysterious



- Dark matter: 27% of our Universe is made of unknown matter (other than electrons, quarks, ...)
- « Observation »: galaxy rotation curves, X-ray emission, gravitational lensing, cosmic microwave background

DARK MATTER

- Best candidate: weakly interacting massive particle \Rightarrow WIMP
 - Massive particles: 100 GeV several TeV
 - Weakly interacting with the ordinary matter





- Annihilation of the WIMPs
 - Natural cross-section from relic density: $\langle \sigma v \rangle \approx 3.10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$



COSMIC RAY EXPERIMENTS



EXPERIMENTS





1980-1993: Fly eye (Utah)

2004- : Pierre-Auger observatory

No internet

EXPERIMENTS











EXPERIMENTS



2004-2010: CF Altitude : 40 kr 2011-: AMS Altitude : 400 km Let's detail this experiment!

>)6-: Pamela itude : 400 km



THE AMS-02 EXPERIMENT





- A particle detector in space
 - Detect charged particles and gamma rays
 - From 100 MeV to a few TeV



5m x 4m x 3m 7.5 tons



- Launched from Cap Canaveral on the 16th of May 2011
 - Penultimate American shuttle!







- Installation on the ISS on the 19th of May 2011
 - Orbit at 400 km altitude
 - One orbit every **90 minutes**



• Detect the cosmic rays before they interact in the atmosphere

FLIGHT OPERATION

- Acquisition rate from 200 to 2000 Hz Acquisition rate [Hz]
- Continuous operation 7d/7 24h/24
- Acquisition
 - ~40 millions events a day
 - ~100 GB transferred every day
 - **35 TB** of data every year
 - **200 TB** of reconstructed data every year



- 85 billions of events recorded since May 2011
 - Much more than all the cosmic rays collected in the last 100 years
- Will operate at least until 2024

Transition radiation detector Identifies e⁺, e⁻



Silicium tracker Z, P



 $\begin{array}{c} Electromagnetic \ calorimeter \\ E \ of \ e^{+}, \ e^{-}, \ \gamma \end{array}$





Vincent Poireau



Time of flight Z, E

Magnet 0,14 T ±Z



Cherenkov detector Z, E





- Rigidity
 - R = p/Z
 - Expressed in GV



A 369 GeV positron event
COLLABORATION

AMS: a U.S. DOE sponsored international collaboration



AMS TOPICS

- Measurement of cosmic ray fluxes
 - Understand the cosmic ray **propagation** in our Galaxy
- Indirect search of dark matter
 - **Positrons** and **antiprotons** produced during its annihilation
- Search for primordial antimatter
 - Anti-helium relic of the Big-Bang or anticarbon from anti-stars
- Surprises? Strangelets?





ELECTRONS AND POSITRONS IN COSMIC RAYS

POSITRON FRACTION

- Positrons : expected only as secondary
- Positron excess with respect to the secondary prediction = source of primary positrons

Positron fraction
$$F = \frac{\Phi_{e^+}}{\Phi_{e^+} + \Phi_{e^-}} = \frac{N_{e^+}}{N_{e^+} + N_{e^-}}$$

- Allows to factorize the **acceptance** and efficiencies
- Simplify the computation of systematic uncertainties

• Challenges

- 100 times more protons than electrons
- 2000 times more protons than positrons
- \Rightarrow Need to divide number of protons by 10⁶

POSITRON FRACTION

- Result for the positron fraction below 35 GeV
 - Fraction begins to increase **above 10 GeV**
 - Incompatible with secondary positrons only
 - A source of primary positrons is needed!
 - Nearby source since positrons do not propagate more than a few kpc





• Fraction at high energy

Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 121101 (2014)



- AMS: precision and energy never reached before
- No sharp structure
- Fit of the slope
 - Cease to increase at 275 ± 32 GeV
- With the current sensitivity, the flux is isotropic



• Fluxes bring more information for the models than the fraction

- Obtaining the flux via $\frac{N}{A \times \varepsilon_{Trig.} \times \varepsilon_{sel.} \times T \times dE}$
 - *N* **number** of positrons or electrons
 - A acceptance
 - ε_{Trig} and ε_{sel} trigger and selection efficiencies
 - *T* exposure **time**
 - dE energy **bin size**

FLUX MEASUREMENT



FLUX MEASUREMENT

• The electron and positron fluxes are different in their magnitude and energy dependence



COMBINED FLUX

- electron + positron flux measurement
 - Independent from charge sign measurement
 - **High selection efficiency** (70% at 1 TeV)



INTERPRETATION: DARK MATTER

• Fitting the positron fraction using the best combination of annihilation channels



A&A 575, A67 (2015)

- Dark matter may explain the fraction, but unnatural annihilation crosssection
 - ×1000 compared to the one expected from the relic density
- Not likely that we have observed an indirect observation of dark matter
 - In tension with other observables (antiprotons, gamma rays, ...)

INTERPRETATION: PULSARS

- Neutron stars spinning at high rate with a strong magnetic field
- 200 pulsars at less than 2 kpc from Earth
 - Only a small fraction able to emit positrons
- Mechanism
 - Electrons extracted from the surface by the high fields
 - \Rightarrow electrons produce **synchrotron photons**
 - \Rightarrow photons produce **e**⁺-**e**⁻ **pairs**
 - \Rightarrow Some **escape** from the pulsar
- Precise prediction very difficult
- Five closeby pulsars able to explain the fraction





ANTIPROTONS IN COSMIC RAYS

ANTIPROTONS

- Dark matter could create an excess of antiprotons with respect to the expectations
 - Pulsars **do NOT** produce antiprotons
- AMS presented recently the measurement of \overline{p}/p
 - 290 000 antiprotons



- Is dark matter necessary to explain this measurement?
 - Controversial topic!
 - Need to compute what is expected from **secondary antiprotons**

ANTIPROTONS

- Adding the contribution of the secondary antiprotons with its uncertainty
 - **Comparison** of data and expectations for \overline{p}/p



- The ratio \overline{p}/p is not in discrepancy with the expectations
 - No dark matter needed here (yet)

OTHER RESULTS ON COSMIC RAYS

PROTONS

• Protons are the most abundant charged particles in cosmic rays

- Knowledge of the proton spectrum is important in understanding the **origin**, **acceleration**, and **propagation** of cosmic rays
- ATIC-2, CREAM, and PAMELA experiments showed deviations of the proton flux from a single power law
- Fresh result from AMS



• The spectral index is progressively hardening at high rigidities



• AMS compared with recent data

PRL 115, 211101 (2015)



LITHIUM

• Like B and Be, lithium is produced by spallation processes

```
CNO...Fe + ISM \rightarrow Li
\rightarrow B, Be + ISM \rightarrow Li
```

• Sensitive to propagation parameters (diffusion, convection, reacceleration, ...)



Deviation from single power law and **hardening** of the lithium flux above 300 GV

55

CARBON

- Carbon is the nuclei with the 3rd highest abundance (after H and He) and is produced and accelerated by cosmic ray sources
 - Allows to test **production and propagation** mechanism



CARBON

- Carbon is the nuclei with the 3rd highest abundance (after H and He) and is produced and accelerated by cosmic ray sources
 - Allows to test **production and propagation** mechanism



NUCLEUS ANOMALY

Recent and unexpected observation: the power law is broken at high energy



- What can cause these anomalies?
 - Sources?
 - Acceleration?
 - Propagation?
- Still an open question!

B/C RATIO

- Allows to understand the propagation of cosmic rays
 - Strong constraints on propagation model, especially on the δ parameter



IN SUMMARY

- Cosmic rays are charged and neutral particles coming from space
 - From a few MeV to 10²⁰ eV
 - Mainly protons, helium, electrons, ...
- Sources
 - At intermediate energies, they come from supernova remnant in our Galaxy
 - Protons, electrons, ... come directly from the source
 - Positrons, antiprotons, ... are created by **collision** with the interstellar medium, with a rate that **can be predicted**
- Propagation
 - Charged cosmic ray propagation is equivalent to a diffusion
- Positrons in cosmic rays
 - There is **more positrons** at high energy compared to the expectations
 - New source: dark matter? pulsars?
 - AMS will extend its **energy range**, and will be able to **discriminate** between the dark matter and pulsar hypotheses
- Antiprotons in cosmic rays
 - Antiprotons could be produced by dark matter
 - After the recent AMS measurement, no need (yet) for dark matter
- Other measurements
 - Many other measurement are **yet to come**, with on-going experiments or **promising future experiments**

TO BE CONTINUED... (Julien Masbou)

ADDITIONAL SLIDES

WIMP "miracle"

- Start with heavy, stable dark matter (DM) particle X in thermal equilibrium.
- Early universe $T > M_X: X\overline{X} \leftrightarrow f\overline{f}$
- Universe cools $T < M_X : X\overline{X} \rightarrow f\overline{f}$
- Freeze out: Hubble expansion eventually prevents XX → ff
- Solving Boltzmann equation

Vii

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -\frac{3\dot{R}}{R}n - \langle \sigma v \rangle n^2 + \langle \sigma v \rangle n_0^2$$

assuming measured DM density results in:

$$\frac{\Omega_{\rm DM}h^2}{0.1} \approx \left(\frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{3~{\rm pb}\cdot{\rm cm/s}}\right)^{-1}$$

and for $m_{DM} = 100$ GeV and weak g:

$$\sigma \sim g^4/m_{\rm DM}^2 \sim 3 {\rm pb} \cdot {\rm cm/s}$$



* Lee, Weinberg (1977) FERMILAB-PUB-77/41-THY

n (n ₀)	DM number density (at equilibrium)			
R∕R	expansion rate			
(σv)	DM annihilation cross section x velocity			
$\Omega_X h^2$	physical X density			

TRANSMISSION





Astronaut at ISS AMS Laptop



Ku-Band High Rate (down): Events <10Mbit/s>

S-Band Low Rate (up & down): Commanding: 1 Kbit/s Monitoring: 30 Kbit/s



White Sands Ground Terminal, NM



AMS Payload Operations Control and Science Operations Centers (POCC, SOC) at CERN since June 2011

AMS Computers at MSFC, AL



	e -	Ρ	He,Li,Be,Fe	γ	e +	P, D	He, C
TRD		r	Y			T	Υ
TOF	Ŧ	4 4	77	T	Ŧ	4 4	ř
Tracker				八			ノ
RICH	$\langle \rangle$	\bigcirc	\rightarrow		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
ECAL		******	Ŧ			*****	¥
Physics example	Cosmic Ray Physics				Dark matter		Antimatter

POSITRON FRACTION

- Key detectors for this measurement
 - TRD
 - Tracker
 - E/p close to 1 for electrons/positrons
 - Calorimeter
 - Based on 3D shower shape
- Methodology
 - Selection using the calorimeter variable
 - **Count** of e⁺ (Z>0) and e⁻ (Z<0) from a 2D fit on the TRD and tracker variables
 - Count for each energy range



POSITRON FRACTION

- Counts of leptons after the selection
 - **Z** > **0** : count of **positrons**



• Z < 0 : count of **electrons**

CHARGE CONFUSION

 For some energy range, difficulty to measure the sign of the charge
 ⇒ confusion

- Two sources
 - Finite resolution of the tracker and multiple scattering
 - Production of secondary tracks along the path of the primary track





- Fit of the AMS data using a minimal model
- Positrons
 - Secondary production $\Phi_{e^+} = C_{e^+} E^{-\gamma_e^+} + C_s E^{-\gamma_s} e^{-E/E_s}$
 - + source
- Electrons
 - Primary and secondary $\Phi_{e^-} = C_{e^-} E^{-\gamma e^-} + C_s E^{-\gamma s} e^{-E/E_s}$ production
 - + same source
- Simultaneous fit to
 - Positron fraction from 2 GeV
 - Combined flux from 2 GeV

PROPAGATION

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \{K(E) \nabla \psi\} - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \{b(E) \psi\} = q(\mathbf{x}, t, E)$$

$$\psi = dn/dE$$

$$K(E) = K_0 \beta (\mathcal{R}/1 \text{ GV})^{\delta}$$
 $b(E) = \frac{E_0}{\tau_E} \epsilon^2$ $\epsilon = E/E_0$

$$q_{e^+}^{\rm DM}(\mathbf{x}_S, E_S) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \sigma v \rangle \left\{ \frac{\rho_{\chi}(\mathbf{x}_S)}{m_{\chi}} \right\}^2 \left\{ g(E_S) \equiv \sum_i B_i \left. \frac{dN_{e^+}}{dE_S} \right|_i \right\}$$

$$g(E) = Q_0 \left(\frac{E_0}{E}\right)^{\gamma} \exp(-E/E_C)$$

$$\int_{E_{\min}}^{+\infty} E_{\rm S} g(E_{\rm S}) \,\mathrm{d}E_{\rm S} = f W_0.$$

MINIMAL MODEL Result from the fits



Fits are satisfactory, which shows that the data can be described by a common e^{+}/e^{-} source


ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: EPS 2013

full data

partial data

full data

	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	E ^{miss} T	∫£ dt[fb	-1] Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\begin{array}{l} \text{MSUGRA/CMSSM} \\ \text{MSUGRA/CMSSM} \\ \text{MSUGRA/CMSSM} \\ \overline{q} \overline{q}, \overline{q} \rightarrow q \overline{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} \overline{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} \overline{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q q \overline{\chi}_{1}^{1} \rightarrow q q \mathcal{W}^{\pm} \overline{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \overline{g} \overline{g} \rightarrow q q q \ell \ell (\ell) \overline{\chi}_{1}^{0} \overline{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \text{GMSB} (\ell \text{ NLSP}) \\ \text{GMSB} (\ell \text{ NLSP}) \\ \text{GGM (bino NLSP)} \\ \text{GGM (higgsino-bino NLSP)} \\ \text{GGM (higgsino NLSP)} \\ \text{GGM (higgsino NLSP)} \\ \text{Gravitino LSP} \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu (SS) \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 - 2 \ \tau \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2 \ e, \mu (Z) \\ 0 \end{matrix}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 7-10 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 3-6 jets 3 jets 2-4 jets 0-2 jets 0 1 b 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.7 4.7 20.7 4.8 4.8 4.8 5.8 10.5	q . ğ š 1.2 Te' š 1.1 TeV q 740 GeV š 1.3 T š 1.18 TeV š 1.18 TeV š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.24 Te š 1.07 TeV š 619 GeV š 6900 GeV š 6900 GeV 5 6900 GeV 5 6900 GeV 5 6900 GeV 5 6900 GeV 5 690 GeV 5 690 GeV 5 690 GeV 5 690 GeV 5 690 GeV 5 690 GeV 5 5 5 5 7 	1.7 TeV m(q)-m(g) any m(q) any m(q) any m(q) m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)-0 GeV feV m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)-0 GeV w($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)-200 GeV, m($\tilde{\chi}^+$)-0.5(m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)+m(g)) m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) ang < 15	ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-052 ATLAS-CONF-2013-054 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-047 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-026 1209.0753 ATLAS-CONF-2012-014 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152
gen. g med.	$\begin{array}{l} \bar{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \bar{k}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g} \rightarrow b \bar{t} \bar{k}_{1}^{1} \end{array}$	0 0 0-1 <i>e</i> , μ 0-1 <i>e</i> , μ	3 b 7-10 jets 3 b 3 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	ğ 1.2 Tel ğ 1.14 TeV ğ 1.34 ğ 1.31	V m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})<600 GeV m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})<200 GeV TeV m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})<400 GeV feV m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})<300 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 ATLAS-CONF-2013-054 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061 ATLAS-CONF-2013-061
direct production	$ \begin{array}{c} \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_1 \rightarrow b \bar{\chi}_1^0 \\ \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_1 \rightarrow t \bar{\chi}_1^{\bar{1}} \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1 (\text{light}), \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow b \bar{\chi}_1^{\bar{1}} \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1 (\text{light}), \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow W \bar{\lambda}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1 (\text{medium}), \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow t \bar{\chi}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1 (\text{medium}), \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow t \bar{\chi}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1 (\text{heavy}), \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow t \bar{\chi}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1 (\text{heavy}), \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow t \bar{\chi}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_1 \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}_1^0 \\ \bar{t}_2 \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_2 \rightarrow \bar{t}_1 + Z \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\ ({\rm SS})\\ 1\mathchar`-2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 1\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\ (Z)\\ 3\ e,\mu\ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b ono-jet/c-t 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.7 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.7 20.5 20.3 20.7 20.7	b₁ 100-630 GeV b₁ 430 GeV t₁ 167 GeV t₁ 220 GeV t₁ 220 GeV t₁ 225-525 GeV t₁ 200-610 GeV t₁ 200-610 GeV t₁ 200 GeV t₁ 200 GeV t₁ 200 GeV t₁ 500 GeV t₁ 500 GeV t₁ 500 GeV	$\begin{split} m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) < 100 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) &= 2m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) &= 55 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) &= m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}) - m(W) - 50 \text{GeV}, \ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}) < < m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 0 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 0 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 200 \text{GeV}, \ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{+}) - m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 5 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 0 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 0 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - 0 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) < 85 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) > 150 \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) - m(\tilde{\tau}_{1}^{0}) + 180 \text{GeV} \end{split}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-053 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007 1208.4305, 1209.2102 ATLAS-CONF-2013-048 ATLAS-CONF-2013-063 ATLAS-CONF-2013-053 ATLAS-CONF-2013-024 ATLAS-CONF-2013-024 ATLAS-CONF-2013-025 ATLAS-CONF-2013-025
direct	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\ell}_{L,R} \tilde{\ell}_{L,R}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell} \nu (\ell \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} \nu (\tau \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{L} \nu \tilde{\ell}_{L} \ell (\tilde{\nu} \nu), \ell \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\ell}_{L} \ell (\tilde{\nu} \nu) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W^{*} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} Z^{*} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \end{array} $	2 e,μ 2 e,μ 2 τ 3 e,μ 3 e,μ	0 0 0 0	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.7 20.7 20.7	$ \begin{array}{c c} \bar{t} & 85\text{-}315 \ \text{GeV} \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} & 125\text{-}450 \ \text{GeV} \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} & 180\text{-}330 \ \text{GeV} \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} & 600 \ \text{GeV} \\ \bar{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \bar{\chi}_{2}^{0} & 315 \ \text{GeV} \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0 \; \text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0 \; \text{GeV}, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\tau}) {=} 0.5(m(\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{+}) {+} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0 \; \text{GeV}, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\tau}) {=} 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {+} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {-} m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0, m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\tau}) {=} 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {+} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}) {-} m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) {=} 0, \text{ sleptons decoupled} \end{array}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-049 ATLAS-CONF-2013-028 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035 ATLAS-CONF-2013-035
particles	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Direct} \tilde{x}_{1}^{+} \tilde{x}_{1}^{-} \text{ prod., long-lived } \tilde{x}_{1}^{+} \\ \text{Stable, stopped } \tilde{g} \text{ R-hadron} \\ \text{GMSB, stable } \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu})_{+} \tau(e \\ \text{GMSB}, \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}, \text{ long-lived } \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{x}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow q \mu \text{ (RPV)} \end{array}$	Disapp. trk 0 (μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ	1 jet 1-5 jets 0 0 0	Yes Yes - Yes Yes	20.3 22.9 15.9 4.7 4.4	\$\bar{x}_1^1\$ 270 GeV \$\bar{8}\$ 857 GeV \$\bar{x}_1^0\$ 475 GeV \$\bar{x}_1^1\$ 230 GeV \$\bar{q}\$ 700 GeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\overline{x}_{1}^{0})-m(\overline{x}_{1}^{0})=\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 ATLAS-CONF-2013-057 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 1210.7451
RPV	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_r + X, \tilde{v}_r \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_r + X, \tilde{v}_r \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSM \\ \tilde{x}_1^+ \tilde{x}_1^-, \tilde{x}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{x}_1^0, \tilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow ee \tilde{v}_\mu, e\mu \tilde{v}_\mu \\ \tilde{x}_1^+ \tilde{x}_1^-, \tilde{x}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{x}_1^0, \tilde{x}_1^0 \rightarrow \tau r \tilde{v}_e, er \tilde{v}_r \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 t, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 4 \ e, \mu \\ 3 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu (\text{SS}) \end{array}$	0 0 7 jets 0 6 jets 0-3 <i>b</i>	- Yes Yes Yes - Yes	4.6 4.6 4.7 20.7 20.7 4.6 20.7	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.61 TeV $\lambda'_{311} = 0.10, \lambda_{132} = 0.05$ $\lambda'_{311} = 0.10, \lambda_{1(2)33} = 0.05$ V $m(q) = m(g), c_{T_{L},p} < 1 \text{ mm}$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) > 300 \text{ GeV}, \lambda_{121} > 0$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) > 80 \text{ GeV}, \lambda_{133} > 0$	1212.1272 1212.1272 ATLAS-CONF-2012-140 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 ATLAS-CONF-2013-036 1210.4813 ATLAS-CONF-2013-007
Other	Scalar gluon WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	0 0	4 jets mono-jet	Yes	4.6 10.5	sgluon 100-287 GeV M* scale 704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 m(χ)<80 GeV, limit of<687 GeV for D8	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$	/s = 8 TeV	√s =	8 TeV		10 ⁻¹ 1	Mass scale [TeV]	

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (4.4 - 22.9) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$

Current limits: neutralino/chargino

