

# Vortex buoyancy in superfluid and superconducting neutron stars



<u>Vasiliy Dommes</u>, Mikhail Gusakov Ioffe Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia

NewCompStar School, Coimbra 09.09.2016

### Introduction

- At  $T < 10^8 10^{10} K$  protons in NS core condense into superconducting state.
- In the case of type-II superconductivity magnetic field penetrates the core in the form of quantized fluxtubes (Abrikosov vortices).
- Each fluxtube (proton vortex) carries single flux quantum,  $\phi_0 \equiv \frac{\pi \hbar c}{c}$ .
- Since all the magnetic field is locked to proton vortices, its evolution is determined by vortex motion.

#### Proton vortex structure

coherence length ( $\approx$ size of kernel): $\xi_p \sim 10^{-12} \text{ cm}$ London penetration depth: $\delta_p \sim 10^{-11} \text{ cm}$ 

velocity field:  

$$\mathbf{v}(r) = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi\delta_p} K_1\left(\frac{r}{\delta_p}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\phi}, \quad \kappa = \frac{\pi\hbar}{m_p} \qquad v(r) \approx \frac{\kappa}{2\pi r}, \quad r \ll \delta_p$$

$$v(r) \sim e^{-r/\delta_p}, \quad r \gg \delta_p$$

magnetic field:

$$\mathbf{B}(r) = \frac{\phi_0}{2\pi\delta_p^2} K_0\left(\frac{r}{\delta_p}\right) \mathbf{e}_z \qquad \qquad \int_0^\infty B(r) 2\pi r \mathrm{d}r = \phi_0 \equiv \frac{\pi\hbar e}{e}$$

energy per unit length:

$$\varepsilon_V = \int_{\xi_p}^{\infty} \left[ \rho_{sp} \frac{v^2(r)}{2} + \frac{B^2(r)}{8\pi} \right] 2\pi r dr \approx \rho_{sp} \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi} \ln \frac{\delta_p}{\xi_p}$$

3/17

В

 ${f v}(r$ 

 $\mathbf{e}_{z}$ 

### Buoyancy force: standard derivation

#### Bernoulli's theorem:

$$P_{\rm fluid} + \rho_{sp} \frac{v^2(r)}{2} + \frac{B^2(r)}{8\pi} = const$$

pressure drop:

density drop:

$$\Delta P(r) = \rho_{sp} \frac{v^2(r)}{2} + \frac{B^2(r)}{8\pi} \quad \Longrightarrow$$

$$\Delta \rho = \frac{1}{c_s^2} \Delta P, \quad c_s^2 = \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}\rho}$$

[Muslimov & Tsygan (1985)]

see also Sharvey, Ruderman & Shaham (1986)

buoyancy force per unit length:

$$\mathbf{f}_B = -\mathbf{g} \int_{\xi_p}^{\infty} \Delta \rho(r) 2\pi r dr = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\mathbf{g}}{c_s^2} = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho}$$

#### Buoyancy force: standard derivation

$$\mathbf{f}_B = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\mathbf{g}}{c_s^2} = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\nabla\rho}{\rho}$$

Muslimov & Tsygan (1985)

Also used by:

- Jones (1987)
- Ruderman et al. (1998)
- Jahan-Miri (2000)
- Elfritz et al. (2016)

• ..

Hydrodynamical approach at  $r \sim \delta_p \sim 10^{-11} \text{ cm}$  ???

### Buoyancy force: alternative derivation

energy per unit length:

$$\varepsilon_V = \rho_{sp} \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi} \ln \frac{\delta_p}{\xi_p}$$

Let's shift the vortex from *x* to *x*':

$$\varepsilon_V(x') - \varepsilon_V(x) = \left[\rho_{sp}(x') - \rho_{sp}(x)\right] \frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi} \ln \frac{\delta_p}{\xi_p}$$

Generally, the force is given by the (minus) energy gradient:

$$\mathbf{f}_{B} = -\nabla \varepsilon_{V}(\mathbf{r}) = -\varepsilon_{V} \frac{\nabla \rho_{sp}}{\rho_{sp}} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{f}_{B} = -\varepsilon_{V} \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Muslimov \& Tsygan} \\ \text{(1985)} \end{array}$$

Our derivation is also supported by microscopic Ginzburg-Landau theory [see Rubinstein & Pismen (1991)].

#### Is this difference significant?

VS

$$\mathbf{f}_B = -\varepsilon_V \underbrace{\frac{\nabla \rho_{sp}}{\rho_{sp}}}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_B = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho}$$
  
Muslimov & Tsygan (1985)

near the boundary of superconducting region:

 $\left|\frac{\rho_{sp}}{\nabla\rho_{sr}}\right| \sim \text{meters}$ 

$$\left. \frac{\rho}{\nabla \rho} \right| \sim R \sim 10 \text{ km}$$

⇒ several orders of magnitude!⇒ faster magnetic field expulsion

NB: our buoyancy force may act in opposite direction!

#### Vortex motion

Force balance:

$$\mathbf{f}_B + \mathbf{f}_M + \mathbf{f}_T + \mathbf{f}_D = 0$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{f}_B &= -\varepsilon_V \frac{\nabla \rho_{sp}}{\rho_{sp}} \\ \mathbf{f}_M &= \rho_{sp} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \times (\mathbf{V}_{Lp} - \mathbf{V}_{sp}) \\ \mathbf{f}_T &= \varepsilon_V (\mathbf{e} \nabla) \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{f}_D &= -\kappa \rho_{sp} \mathcal{R} (\mathbf{V}_{Lp} - \mathbf{V}_e) \end{split}$$

buoyancy force Magnus force tension force drag force (=0)



$$\mathbf{V}_{Lp} = \mathbf{V}_{sp} + \frac{\varepsilon_V}{\rho_{sp}\kappa} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{e} - \frac{\varepsilon_V}{\rho_{sp}\kappa} \mathbf{e} \times \underbrace{\nabla \rho_{sp}}{\rho_{sp}}$$
(tension) (buoyancy)

Hydrodynamics of the one-component uncharged superfluid liquid (e.g. superfluid helium):

Hall & Vinen (1956), Hall (1960), Bekarevich & Khalatnikov (1961)

All quantities are averaged over a volume containing large number of vortices

for protons in NS core:  $d_p \sim \mathcal{N}_p^{1/2} \sim 5 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{B}{10^{12} \mathrm{G}}\right)^{-1/2} \mathrm{cm}$ 

Macroscopic superfluid velocity:

$$\mathbf{V}_s = \left\langle \mathbf{v}_s^{ ext{micro}} 
ight
angle$$

Its vorticity is produced by vortices:

$$\cot \mathbf{V}_s \equiv \boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\kappa} \mathcal{N}$$

Vorticity is transferred by vortices:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\partial t} = \operatorname{rot}\left[\mathbf{V}_L \times \boldsymbol{\omega}\right]$$

Hall (1960):

$$\mathbf{V}_{L}^{(Hall)} = \mathbf{V}_{s} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho_{s}} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{e} \qquad \mathbf{e} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{|\boldsymbol{\omega}|}, \quad \lambda \equiv \frac{\varepsilon_{V}}{\kappa}$$

Bekarevich & Khalatnikov (1961):

$$\mathbf{V}_{L}^{(BK)} = \mathbf{V}_{s} + \frac{1}{\rho_{s}} \operatorname{rot} \lambda \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{V}_{L}^{(Hall)} - \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{s}} \mathbf{e} \times \nabla \lambda\right)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{L}^{(BK)} = \mathbf{V}_{s} + \frac{\varepsilon_{V}}{\rho_{s}\kappa} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{e} - \frac{\varepsilon_{V}}{\rho_{s}\kappa} \mathbf{e} \times \underbrace{\left( \frac{\nabla \rho_{s}}{\rho_{s}} \right)}_{(\text{tension})}$$
(buoyancy)

### Yes!

- NB: in [Bekarevich and Khalatnikov 1961], but not in [Hall 1960]
- The same as in our 'energetic' derivation
- The same as in Ginzburg-Landau model [Rubinstein & Pismen 1991]
- Different from the 'standard' one [Muslimov & Tsygan 1985]

### Had anyone mentioned that fact before?

To the best of our knowledge,

### no.

see, e.g.:

- Bekarevich and Khalatnikov (1961)
- "An Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity", Khalatnikov (1965)

Extension of the superfluid hydrodynamics to superfluid/superconducting mixtures:

- Mendell & Lindblom (1991)
- Glampedakis, Andersson & Samuelsson (2011)
- Gusakov & Dommes (2016)

#### Magnetic field evolution

Magnetic field in superconducting NS core is transferred by proton vortices:

$$rac{\partial {f B}}{\partial t} = {
m rot} \left[ {f V}_{Lp} imes {f B} 
ight]$$
[Konenkov & Geppert (2001), Gusakov & Dommes (2016)]

$$\mathbf{V}_{Lp} = \mathbf{V}_{sp} + \frac{\varepsilon_V}{\rho_{sp}\kappa} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{e} - \frac{\varepsilon_V}{\rho_{sp}\kappa} \mathbf{e} \times \frac{\nabla \rho_{sp}}{\rho_{sp}}$$

# Generalization of superfluid hydrodynamics

- Superfluid/superconducting mixtures?
- Electromagnetic field?
- Entrainment?  $\mathbf{j}_p = (\rho_p \rho_{pp} \rho_{np})\mathbf{V}_{norm} + \rho_{pp}\mathbf{V}_{sp} + \rho_{np}\mathbf{V}_{sn}$
- Mutual friction?  $\mathbf{f}_D = -\kappa \rho_{sp} \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}_{Lp} \mathbf{V}_e)$
- Finite-temperature effects?
- Relativistic effects?

see Gusakov & Dommes (2016), *ArXiv:1607.01629 Relativistic dynamics of superfluid-superconducting mixtures in the presence of topological defects and the electromagnetic field, with application to neutron stars* 

### Summary

1. The standard expression for the buoyancy force acting on a vortex is incorrect and should be modified:

$$\mathbf{f}_B = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\mathbf{g}}{c_s^2} = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{f}_B = -\varepsilon_V \frac{\nabla \rho_{sp}}{\rho_{sp}}$$

- 2. This modification can significantly increase the buoyancy force (and, thus, the magnetic field expulsion rate), and may even reverse its direction.
- 3. The proposed derivation is supported by microscopic theory [see Rubinstein & Pismen (1991)].
- 4. The same buoyancy force is already implicitly accounted for in the superfluid hydrodynamics of Bekarevich & Khalatnikov (1961) and its extensions to superfluid/superconducting mixtures [Mendell & Lindblom (1991); Gusakov & Dommes (2016)].
- 5. The buoyancy force should be included by hands in case of using the superfluid hydrodynamics by Hall (1960).

### Thank you for your attention!

