

The international panel chosen for the evaluation of the IDPASC-Portugal Doctoral Program fellowships met in the Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas (LIP) in Lisbon on the 24/10/2016 at 2:30 pm. The first session ended at 8:00 pm and the panel met again on the 25/10/2015 at 9:00 am to close the evaluation procedure at 1:00 pm. The panel members Francisco del Aguila, José Valle, Marcella Diemoz, Andreas Glindemann and Jorge Crispim Romão (President) were present in both sessions. Kostas Kokkotas and Enrique Zas, were not present in Lisbon but connected via Skype the two days of the meeting. João Alves could not be present in the meeting.

The panel reviewed all the 17 applications presented to this call. The procedure followed what was announced in the terms of the call. Each application was evaluated according to the following three criteria, in a scale from 1 (minimum) to 5 (maximum):

- Merit of the candidate (weight 5)
- Work Plan (weight 3)
- Merit of the Supervisor/Institution (weight 2)

Each candidate was evaluated by two different members of the panel and during the meeting the whole panel discussed each application until a grade was agreed by consensus. According to the rules of this year call all candidates were interviewed. The panel could not get an answer from the candidate Sarthak Satapathy and therefore, no interview was done in his case. The summary of these interviews was presented to the panel during the discussion and taken into account in the final grading of the Merit of the Candidate.

Due a conflict of interest the members of the jury, José Valle and Jorge Romão did not participate in the evaluation of Salvador Centelles Chuiá. This candidate was evaluated only after the evaluation of all the others and at that time José Valle and Jorge Romão left the room, being the presidency of the panel assumed by Francisco del Aguila that closed the panel evaluation.

The quality of the applications to this call was excellent with 10 applications scoring 4.1 or above. The panel recommends that the applications with a final grade above or equal to 4.1 can be considered to be awarded in case of availability.

The IDPASC-Portugal administration should ask for the appropriate documents to confirm the grades stated as obtained or expected.

Lisbon, 25 October 2016



## IDPASC Portugal - PhD Program - Final Results -

Thesis ID	Name	Panel				
		Candidate	Work Plan	Supervisor Institution	Final	
ID-32	José Lopes	4.75	4.40	5.00	4.70	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-57	Salvador Centelles Chuiá	4.75	4.30	5.00	4.66	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-59	Emanuel Gouveia	4.50	4.65	5.00	4.64	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-51	Lorenzo Annulli	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.60	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-4	Ana Pinho	4.00	4.60	5.00	4.38	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-42	Bruno Galinhas	3.75	4.65	5.00	4.27	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-23	Renan Pereira	4.20	4.00	4.80	4.26	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-18	Ana Peixoto	3.75	4.50	5.00	4.22	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-30	Ricardo Faleiro	4.00	4.00	4.75	4.15	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-25	Renato Assante	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.12	To award fellowship in case of availability
ID-41	Rui André	3.25	4.70	5.00	4.04	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship
ID-19	Tiago Vale	3.25	4.50	5.00	3.98	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship
ID-10	Sandy Morais	3.00	4.50	4.50	3.75	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship
ID-26	Cristian Jesús Lozano Mariscal	2.50	4.25	5.00	3.52	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship
ID-28	Sandra Reis	2.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship
ID-40	Rui Mendes	2.50	3.50	4.00	3.10	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship
ID-22	SARTHAK SATAPATHY	1.00	3.50	4.00	2.35	Panel does not recommend to award fellowship

TUS



25/10/206 Printed: 2016-10-25 13:24:38